

Are Cortical Models Really Bound by the “Binding Problem”?

Review

Maximilian Riesenhuber and Tomaso Poggio*
Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences
Center for Biological and Computational Learning and
Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142

Processing of visual information in cortex is usually described in terms of an extension of the simple-to-complex hierarchy postulated by Hubel and Wiesel—a feed forward sequence of more and more complex and invariant neuronal representations. The capability of this class of models to perform higher-level visual processing such as viewpoint-invariant object recognition in cluttered scenes has been questioned in recent years by several researchers, who in turn proposed an alternative class of models based on the synchronization of large assemblies of cells, within and across cortical areas. The main implicit argument for this novel and controversial view was the assumption that hierarchical models cannot deal with the computational requirements of high-level vision and suffer from the so-called “binding problem.” Here, we review the present situation and discuss theoretical and experimental evidence showing that the perceived weaknesses of hierarchical models are unsubstantiated. In particular, we show here that recognition of multiple objects in cluttered scenes, arguably among the most difficult tasks in vision, can be done in a hierarchical feedforward model. Two problems in particular make object recognition difficult:

1. The *segmentation problem*. Visual scenes normally contain multiple objects. To recognize individual objects, features must be isolated from the surrounding clutter and extracted from the image, and the feature set must be parsed so that the different features are assigned to the correct object. The latter problem is commonly referred to as the “binding problem” (von der Malsburg, 1995).

2. The *invariance problem*. Objects have to be recognized under varying viewpoints, lighting conditions, etc.

Interestingly, the human brain can solve these problems with ease and *quickly*. Thorpe et al. (1996) report that visual processing in an object detection task in complex visual scenes can be achieved in under 150 ms, which is on the order of the latency of the signal transmission from the retina to inferotemporal cortex (IT), the highest area in the ventral visual stream thought to have a key role in object recognition (Ungerleider and Haxby, 1994; see also Potter, 1975). This impressive processing speed presents a strong constraint for any model of object recognition.

Models of Visual Object Recognition and the Binding Problem

Hubel and Wiesel (1965) were the first to postulate a model of visual object representation and recognition.

They recorded from simple and complex cells in the primary visual cortices of cats and monkeys and found that while both types preferentially responded to bars of a certain orientation, the former had small receptive fields with a phase-dependent response while the latter had bigger receptive fields and showed no phase dependence. This observation led them to hypothesize that complex cells receive input from several simple cells. Extending this model in a straightforward fashion, they suggested (Hubel and Wiesel, 1962) that the visual system is composed of a hierarchy of visual areas, from simple cells all the way up to higher-order “hypercomplex” cells.

Later studies (Bruce et al., 1981) of macaque inferotemporal cortex (IT) described neurons tuned to views of complex objects such as faces; i.e., the cells discharged strongly to a face seen from a specific viewpoint but very little or not at all to other objects. A key property of these cells was their scale and translation invariance, i.e., the robustness of their firing to stimulus transformations such as changes in size or position in the visual field.

These findings inspired various models of visual object recognition such as Fukushima’s Neocognitron (1980) or, later, Perrett and Oram’s (1993) outline of a model of shape processing and Wallis and Rolls’ VisNet (1997), all of which share the basic idea of the visual system as a feedforward processing hierarchy where invariance ranges and complexity of preferred features grow as one ascends through the levels.

Models of this type prompted von der Malsburg (1981) to formulate the binding problem. His claim was that visual representations based on spatially invariant feature detectors (to achieve invariant recognition) were ambiguous: “As generalizations are performed independently for each feature, information about neighborhood relations and relative position, size, and orientation is lost. This lack of information can lead to the inability to distinguish between patterns that are composed of the same set of invariant features” (von der Malsburg, 1995). Moreover, as a visual scene containing multiple objects is represented by a set of feature activations, a second problem lies in “singling out appropriate groups from the large background of possible combinations of active neurons” (von der Malsburg, 1995). These problems would manifest themselves in various phenomena such as hallucinations (the feature sets activated by objects actually present in the visual scene combine to yield the activation pattern characteristic of another object) and the figure-ground problem (the inability to correctly assign image features to foreground object and background). These difficulties led von der Malsburg to postulate the necessity of a special mechanism, the synchronous oscillatory firing of ensembles of neurons, to *bind* features belonging to one object together.

One approach to avoid these problems was presented by Olshausen et al. (1993): instead of trying to process all objects simultaneously, processing is limited to one object in a certain part of space at a time, for example by “focusing attention” on a region of interest in the

*To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail: tp@ai.mit.edu).

visual field and routing that information through to higher visual areas, while ignoring the remainder of the visual field. The control signal for the input selection in this model is thought to be in the form of the output of a “blob search” system, one that identifies possible candidates in the visual scene for closer examination. While this top-down approach to circumvent the binding problem has intuitive appeal and is compatible with physiological studies that report top-down attentional modulation of receptive field properties (see the review by Reynolds and Desimone, 1999 [this issue of *Neuron*], or the recent study by Connor et al., 1997), such a sequential approach seems difficult to reconcile with the apparent speed with which object recognition can proceed even in very complex scenes containing many objects (Potter, 1975; Thorpe et al., 1996), and it is also incompatible with reports of parallel processing of visual scenes, as observed in pop-out experiments (Treisman and Gelade, 1980). These and other data suggest that object recognition does not seem to depend only on explicit top-down selection in all situations.

A more head-on approach to the binding problem was taken in other studies that have called into question the assumption that representations based on sets of spatially invariant feature detectors are inevitably ambiguous. Starting with Wickelgren (1969) in the context of speech recognition, several studies (Fukushima, 1980; Perrett and Oram, 1993; Wallis and Rolls, 1997) have proposed that coding an object through a set of intermediate features made up of local arrangements of simpler features can sufficiently constrain the representation to uniquely code complex objects without retaining global positional information. Thus, rather than using individual letters to code words, letter pairs or higher-order combinations of letters can be used—i.e., although the word “tomaso” might be confused with the word “somato” if both were coded by the sets of letters they are made up of, this ambiguity is resolved if both are represented through letter pairs (see Mozer, 1991, for an elaboration of this idea). The capabilities of intermediate-level representations based on spatially invariant receptive fields were recently analyzed in detail by Mel and Fiser (1999) for the example domain of English text.

In the visual domain, Mel (1997) presented a model to perform invariant recognition of a high number (100) of objects of different types, using a representation based on a large number of feature channels. While the model performed surprisingly well for a variety of transformations, recognition performance depended strongly on color cues and did not seem as robust to scale changes as experimental neurons (Logothetis et al., 1995). Perrett and Oram (1998) have recently outlined a conceptual model, based on very similar ideas, of how a representation based on feature combinations could in theory avoid the binding problem, for example, by coding a face through a set of detectors for combinations of face parts such as eye-nose or eyebrow-hairline. What has been lacking so far, however, is a computational implementation quantitatively demonstrating that such a model can actually perform “real-world” subordinate visual object recognition to the extent observed in behavioral and physiological experiments (Sato, 1989; Logothetis et al., 1994, 1995; Missal et al., 1997), where effects such as variations in scale and

position, occlusion, and overlap pose additional problems not found in an idealized text environment. In particular, unlike in the text domain where the input consists of letter strings, and the extraction of features (letter combinations) from the input is therefore trivial, the crucial task of invariant feature extraction from the image is nontrivial for scenes containing complex shapes, especially when multiple objects are present.

We have developed a hierarchical feedforward model of object recognition in cortex (Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999b) as a plausibility proof that such a model can account for several properties of IT cells, in particular the invariance properties of IT cells found by Logothetis et al. (1995). In the following section, we will show that such a simple model can perform invariant recognition of complex objects in cluttered scenes and is compatible with recent physiological studies. Thus, this plausibility proof demonstrates that complex oscillation-based mechanisms are not necessarily required for these tasks, and that the binding problem seems to be a problem for only some models of object recognition.

A Hierarchical Model of Object Recognition in Cortex

Studies of receptive field properties along the ventral visual stream in the macaque, from primary visual cortex V1 to anterior IT, report an overall trend of an increase of average feature complexity and receptive field size throughout the stream (Kobatake and Tanaka, 1994). While simple cells in V1 have small localized receptive fields and respond preferentially to simple shapes like bars, cells in anterior IT have been found to respond to views of complex objects while showing great tolerance to scale and position changes. Moreover, some IT cells seem to respond to objects in a view-invariant manner (Perrett et al., 1991; Logothetis et al., 1995; Booth and Rolls, 1998).

Our model follows this general framework. Previously, Poggio and Edelman (1990) presented a model of how view-invariant cells could arise from view-tuned cells (Figure 1). However, they did not describe any model of how the view-tuned units (VTUs) could come about. We have recently developed a hierarchical model that closes this gap and shows how VTUs tuned to complex features can arise from simple cell-like inputs. A detailed description of our model can be found in Riesenhuber and Poggio (1999b; for preliminary accounts, refer to Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1998a, 1998b, and also to Koch and Poggio, 1999). We briefly review here some of the model's main properties. The central idea of the model is that invariance to scaling and translation, and robustness to clutter on the one hand and feature complexity on the other hand, require different transfer functions, i.e., mechanisms by which a neuron combines its inputs to arrive at an output value. While for feature complexity a weighted sum of different features, which makes the neuron respond preferentially to a specific activity pattern over its afferents, is a suitable transfer function, increasing invariance requires a different transfer function that pools over different afferents tuned to the same feature but transformed to different degrees (for example, at different scales to achieve scale invariance). A suitable pooling function is a so-called MAX function, *where the output of the neuron is determined*




Figure 1. Poggio and Edelman (1990) Model of 3D Rotation-Invariant Object Recognition from Individual Views

(a) Cartoon of the model. The gray ovals correspond to view-tuned units that feed into a view-invariant unit (white circle).
(b) Tuning curves of the view-tuned (gray) and the view-invariant (black) units.

by the strongest afferent, and it thus performs a “selection” (and possibly scanning) operation over afferents tuned to different positions and scales (for a computational justification, see Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999b). The idea is similar to the original Hubel and Wiesel model of a complex cell receiving input from simple cells at different locations to achieve phase invariance.

In our model of object recognition in cortex (Figure 2), the two types of operations, selection and template matching, are combined in a hierarchical fashion to build up complex, invariant feature detectors from small, localized, simple cell-like receptive fields in the bottom layer. Our model “retina” is composed of 160×160 pixels, corresponding to a 5° receptive field size if we set $32 \text{ pixels} = 1^\circ$ (Kobatake and Tanaka [1994] report an average V4 receptive field size of 4.4°). Patterns on the model retina are first filtered through layer S1 (adopting Fukushima’s [1980] nomenclature referring to feature-building cells as “S” cells and pooling cells as “C” cells) of simple cell-like receptive fields (first derivative of Gaussians, zero-sum, square-normalized to 1, oriented at 0° , 45° , 90° , and 135° with standard deviations of 1.75–7.25 pixels in steps of 0.5 pixels). S1 filter responses are absolute values of the image “filtered” through the units’ receptive fields (more precisely, the rectified dot product of the cell’s receptive field with the corresponding image patch). Receptive field centers densely sample the input retina. Cells in the next layer

(C1) each pool S1 cells of the same orientation over a range of scales and positions using the MAX operation. Filters were grouped in four bands, each spanning roughly 0.5 octaves; sampling over position was done over patches of linear dimensions of 4, 6, 9, and 12 pixels, respectively (starting with the smallest filter band); patches overlapped by half in each direction to obtain more invariant cells responding to the same features as the S1 cells. Different C1 cells were then combined in higher layers—the figure illustrates two possibilities: either combining C1 cells tuned to different features, resulting in S2 cells that respond to coactivations of C1 cells that are tuned to different orientations, or yielding C2 cells that respond to the same feature as the C1 cells but that have bigger receptive fields (i.e., the hierarchy does not have to be a strict alternation of S and C layers). In the version described in this paper, there were no direct C1 to C2 connections, and each S2 cell received input from four neighboring C1 units (in a 2×2 arrangement) of arbitrary orientation, yielding a total of $4^4 = 256$ different S2 cell types. S2 transfer functions were Gaussian ($\sigma = 1$, centered at 1). C2 cells then pooled inputs from all S2 cells of the same type, producing invariant feature detectors tuned to complex shapes. Top-level view-tuned units had Gaussian response functions and each VTU received inputs from a subset of C2 cells (see below).

This model had originally been developed to account




Figure 2. Diagram of Our Hierarchical Model of Object Recognition in Cortex

The model consists of layers of linear units that perform a template match over their afferents (blue arrows) and of nonlinear units that perform a MAX operation over their inputs, where the output is determined by the strongest afferent (green arrows). While the former operation serves to increase feature complexity, the latter increases invariance by effectively scanning over afferents tuned to the same feature but at different positions (to increase translation invariance) or scale (to increase scale invariance; not shown). In the version described in this paper, learning only occurred at the connections from the C2 units to the top-level view-tuned units. From Riesenhuber and Poggio (1999b).

for the transformation tolerance of view-tuned units in IT as recorded by Logothetis et al. (1995). It turns out, however, that the model also has interesting implications for the binding problem.

Binding without a Problem

To correctly recognize multiple objects in clutter, two problems must be solved: (1) features must be robustly extracted, and (2) based on these features, a decision has to be made about which objects are present in the visual scene. The MAX operation can perform robust feature extraction (cf. Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999b): a MAX pooling cell that receives inputs from cells tuned to the same feature at, e.g., different locations, will select the most strongly activated afferent; i.e., its response will be determined by the afferent with the closest match to its preferred feature in its receptive field. Thus, the MAX mechanism effectively isolates the feature of interest from the surrounding clutter. Hence, to achieve robustness to clutter, a VTU should only receive input from cells that are strongly activated by the VTU's preferred stimulus (i.e., those features that are relevant to the definition of the object) and thus less affected by clutter (which will tend to activate the afferents less and will therefore be ignored by the MAX response function). Also, in such a scheme, two view-tuned neurons receiving input from a common afferent feature detector will tend to both have strong connections to this feature detector. Thus, there will be little interference even if the common feature detector only responded to one (the stronger) of the two stimuli in its receptive field due to its MAX response function. Note that the situation would be hopeless for a response function that pools over all afferents through, for example, a linear sum function: the response would *always* change when another object is introduced in the visual field, making it impossible to disentangle the activations caused by the individual stimuli without an additional mechanism—such as, for instance, an attentional sculpting of the receptive field or some kind of segmentation process.

In the following two sections, we will show simulations that support these theoretical considerations, and we will compare them to recent physiological experiments.

Recognition of Multiple Objects

The ability of the model neurons to perform recognition of multiple, nonoverlapping objects was investigated in the following experiment: 21 model neurons were each tuned to a view of a randomly selected paperclip object, as used in theoretical (Poggio and Edelman, 1990), psychophysical (Bülthoff and Edelman, 1992; Logothetis et al., 1994), and physiological (Logothetis et al., 1995) studies on object recognition. Model neurons were each presented with 21 displays consisting of that neuron's preferred clip combined with each of the 21 clip stimuli (in the upper left and lower right corner of the model retina, respectively; see Figure 3a) yielding $21^2 = 441$ two-clip displays. Recognition performance was evaluated by comparing the neuron's response to these displays with its responses to 60 other, randomly chosen "distractor" paperclip objects (Figure 3). Following the studies on view-invariant object recognition (Bülthoff

and Edelman, 1992; Logothetis et al., 1994, 1995; Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999b), an object is said to be recognized if the neuron's response to the two-clip displays (containing its preferred stimulus) is greater than to any of the distractor objects. For 40 afferents to each view-tuned cell (i.e., the 40 C2 units excited most strongly by the neuron's preferred stimulus—this choice produced top-level neurons with tuning curves similar to the experimental neurons; Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999b), we find that on average, in 90% of the cases, recognition of the neuron's preferred clip is still possible, indicating that there is little interference between the activations caused by the two stimuli in the visual field. The maximum recognition rate is 94% for 18 afferents, dropping to 55% if each neuron is connected to all 256 afferents. Figure 3c plots the recognition rate as a function of the number of afferents to each VTU: the rate climbs in the beginning as discriminability of different clips increases with the number of afferents, and then falls again as the presence of the second object in the visual field increasingly interferes with the input to the VTU caused by the first object. Interference occurs because the probability that another object activates a feature detector connected to the VTU more strongly than the preferred object increases as the VTU also receives input from feature detectors that are excited only weakly by its preferred object.

These simulation results have an interesting experimental counterpart in the work of Sato (1989), who studied the responses of neurons in macaque IT to displays consisting of one or two simultaneously appearing stimuli within the IT cell's receptive field. He defines a "summation index," *Sml*, as

$$Sml = \frac{R_{A+B} - \max(R_A, R_B)}{\min(R_A, R_B)}$$

with R_A the IT neuron's response to stimulus *A*, R_B the neuron's response to another stimulus *B*, and R_{A+B} the neuron's response to both stimuli presented simultaneously in its receptive field. Neurons performing a linear summation would have an *Sml* of 1, while MAX neurons would show an *Sml* of 0. For a fixation task, Sato reports a mean *Sml* of -0.18 ($\sigma = 0.5$, $N = 70$, both stimuli in the same hemifield). From these data, the response of real IT neurons appears to have strong MAX characteristics. In fact, a reduction of the response to the two-stimulus display compared to the response to the stronger stimulus alone, implied by the negative *Sml*, and also found in an experiment by Rolls and Tovee (1995), is compatible with the response reduction observed in the two-clip simulation shown in Figure 3b. Interestingly, for a visual discrimination task, Sato (1989) reports very similar average *Sml* values, suggesting that the same bottom-up-driven MAX response mechanism might be operating in both cases.

Recognition in Clutter

So far, we have examined the model's performance for two well-separated objects in the visual field. What about the case of two overlapping stimuli, e.g., when the object of interest is in front of a background object?

This stimulus configuration was used in a physiology




Figure 3. Model Neuron Responses and Average Recognition Rate for the Case of Two Objects in the Visual Field

(a) Example stimuli.

(b) Response curve of one neuron to its 21 two-clip stimuli (the first four being the stimuli shown in 3a), with the dashed line showing the response to the best distractor and the inset showing the response to 60 distractors.

(c) Dependence of average recognition rate (over 21 model neurons) on the number of afferents to each VTU.

experiment by Missal et al. (1997). They trained a monkey on a paired-associate task involving 30 polygonal shapes, followed by recordings of shape-selective cells in IT to the training stimuli and, among others, to displays consisting of the training stimuli superimposed on randomly generated background (other polygons in outline), which were selected so as not to drive the cells (Figure 4a). In this condition, the monkeys behavioral performance decreased slightly (from 98% to 89%), but the average neuronal response dropped precipitously to 25%. How could the monkey still do the task so well in the face of such a drastic change in neuronal response? Furthermore, do we see a similar behavior in the model?

Simulation of the experimental paradigm with our model is straightforward. Foreground stimuli were the 21 clips used in the simulations described previously; backgrounds were randomly generated polygons consisting of eight edges, chosen so that each corner was at a distance from the center of at least 45% of the stimulus size (Figure 4b). Following Missal et al. (1997), we only chose backgrounds that did not drive the model cells, here defined as generating an input to the VTU more than two standard deviations away from the preferred stimulus. Taking the 21 view-tuned cells described above (with 40 afferents out of 256 C2 cells

each), and testing each neuron's response to an input image consisting of that neuron's preferred stimulus superimposed on a randomly generated polygonal background, responses on average (over 10 trials and 21 model neurons each) drop to 49% of the response to the stimulus alone (Figure 5). However, average responses to the best distractor (out of 60) are even lower (42%). Note that the response level of the neurons (but not the recognition rates) depends on the standard deviation σ of their Gaussian response function, which is a free parameter and was set to 0.16 in all simulations, producing tuning curves qualitatively similar to those observed experimentally (Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999b): $\sigma = 0.12$, for instance, would give average responses of 33% to the stimulus-background combination and 23% to the best distractor. This leads to an average recognition rate of 65% in this condition (unlike in the Missal et al. [1997] experiment, using no color cues—if features are color selected, performance is likely to increase). The maximum average recognition rate was 74% for 100 afferents; the maximum average rate for one trial (over 21 neurons) was 90% with 105 afferents. Model parameters were not specially tuned in any way to achieve this performance, so higher recognition rates (for instance, through pooling the responses of several neurons tuned to the same object but receiving inputs from different afferents) are very likely achievable. This simulation thus demonstrates that the ability of the MAX response function to ignore nonrelevant information (in this case, the background figure), together with an object definition based on its salient components, is sufficient to perform recognition in clutter.




Figure 4. Recognition in Clutter

(a) Example stimulus (green) and outline background (red) used in the physiology experiments and redrawn from Missal et al. (1997).

(b) Example stimulus for the corresponding experiment with the model (see text). The foreground clip was correctly recognized by the corresponding model neuron (which was the same as in Figure 3).

Discussion

As with most existing theories of the brain, our model is likely to be incomplete at best and quite possibly wrong altogether. It provides, however, a plausibility proof that biologically plausible models do in fact exist that do not suffer from the binding problem in performing difficult recognition tasks. This is of course just an explicit demonstration of a known but often neglected fact—that the binding problem is not a fundamental




Figure 5. Model Performance for Recognition in Clutter

(a) Average recognition rates (over 21 cells; 10 runs each with different, randomly selected backgrounds) for nonoverlap and overlap conditions (cf. text).

(b) Average response levels in the two conditions, compared to the average response to the best distractor, as a function of the number of afferents to each view-tuned model neuron.

computational problem, like, for instance, the correspondence problem in vision. Instead, the binding problem arises only from the limitations of certain specific computational architectures. Our model shows that there are natural, old-fashioned cortical models that do agree with available data, do not suffer from the binding problems, and do not need oscillations or synchronization mechanisms.

In models like ours, recognition *can* take place without an explicit segmentation stage. The key is to ignore nonrelevant information. At the level of the C cells, this is done through the MAX response function that allows a unit to scan over the image and pick best matches. At the level of the final view-tuned cells (for instance), this is achieved by restricting the afferents to the VTU to those that correspond to the relevant/salient features for the object. This in turn requires an earlier, overcomplete set of “feature”-selective cells that may roughly correspond to the dictionary of shapes described by Tanaka (1993). Subsets of this dictionary are inputs to each of several VTU units.

Many approaches to solving the binding problems do not use oscillation or synchronization mechanisms but instead rely on top-down attentional mechanisms. In fact, it has been argued that top-down control might help in “binding” features together by focusing attention on a region of interest (see Reynolds and Desimone, 1999; Wolfe and Cave, 1999 [both in this issue of *Neuron*]). However, we can perfectly well perform very complex object recognition tasks (e.g., determining whether an image contains a certain object) without focusing attention on a specific part of space (cf. Thorpe et al., 1996). Our model is bottom-up and does not require an explicit top-down signal but is consistent with its use in certain situations. To explain the latter point, we will briefly describe a possible approximate implementation of the MAX operation in terms of cortical microcircuits of lateral, possibly recurrent, inhibition between neurons in a cortical layer. A specific example is a circuit based

on feedforward (or recurrent) shunting presynaptic (or postsynaptic) inhibition by “pool” cells (Poggio et al., 1981). The circuit performs a gain control operation and, for certain values of the parameters, a “softmax” operation (an approximation to the MAX operation in which the degree of nonlinearity is controlled by a parameter): each of the N signals x_i (the activation of the afferents) undergoes a softmax operation as

$$y_i = \frac{x_i^p}{C + \sum_j x_j^q}$$

Thus, for large p and for $q = p - 1$, we have $y_i = x_i$ if $x_i = \max_j x_j$ and $y_i = 0$ otherwise. Softmax circuits have been proposed by Nowlan and Sejnowski (1995) and others (Heeger, 1992; Lee et al., 1999) to account for several cortical functions. Circuits of this type may perform an operation ranging between a simple sum and a MAX on the inputs of a layer of cells under the control of a single variable, and thus may form the basis in cortex for normalization of signals at one extreme and for a MAX-like operation at the other (Chance et al., 1999). Thus, in the context of this hypothetical circuitry for the MAX operation, an intriguing possibility is that the same softmax mechanism might be used in both situations, either predominantly driven by bottom-up information or using top-down signals that may control a parameter (equivalent to locally raising q or C) that switches off the “competition” between inputs in locations outside the “focus of attention.” Several experiments suggest that the visual system uses a MAX or softmax operation to select bottom-up among different inputs: for instance, there is evidence that a MAX-like operation is used in tasks involving object recognition in context (Sato, 1989). As discussed by Nowlan and Sejnowski (1995), the same active selection mechanism underlying preattentive perceptual phenomena may also be used by top-down overt attentional signals—for instance, when focusing attention to a specific part of visual space (Lee et al., 1999; Reynolds et al., 1999).

The MAX mechanism performs an input-driven selection (and possibly scanning) operation over its inputs that might have interesting implications for the pop-out effect (Treisman and Gelade, 1980; cf. the review by Wolfe and Cave, 1999): as the MAX operation is performed in parallel over many neurons, detection of stimuli does not require an attention-controlled “focused” search, as described above, if surrounding stimuli do not interfere with the VTU’s preferred object. Therefore, for objects that activate different features (such as a square amid circles), recognition is possible without sequential search—the stimuli “pop out.” However, in the case of interference, as in a display consisting of many similar paperclips, detection might require “focusing attention” (as discussed by Reynolds and Desimone, 1999) to reduce the influence of competing stimuli. In this case, there would be no pop-out, but rather sequential search would be required to perform successful recognition.

The observed invariance ranges of IT cells after training with one view are reflected in the architecture used in our model: one of its underlying ideas is that invariance and feature specificity have to grow hierarchically so that view-tuned cells at higher levels show sizable invariance ranges even after training with only one view, as a result of the invariance properties of the afferent units. The key concept is to start with simple localized features—since the discriminatory power of simple features is low, the invariance range has to be kept correspondingly low to avoid the cells being activated indiscriminately. As feature complexity and thus discriminatory power grows, the invariance range, i.e., the size of the receptive field, can be increased as well. Thus, loosely speaking, feature specificity and invariance range are inversely related, which is one of the reasons the model avoids a combinatorial explosion in the number of cells: while there is a larger number of different features in higher layers, there do not have to be as many neurons responding to these features as in lower layers, since higher-layer neurons have bigger receptive fields and respond to a greater range of scales. Notice also that the cells in the model are not binary but have continuous response functions, greatly increasing the representational power of the system (which is why “hallucinations” do not occur).

This hierarchical buildup of invariance and feature specificity greatly reduces the overall number of cells required to represent additional objects in the model: the first layer contains a little more than one million cells (160×160 pixels, at four orientations and 12 scales each—for simplicity, dense sampling was used at all scales). Connections in higher levels are in principle subject to learning, driven by the input ensemble and the requirements of the recognition task at hand. As described, we did not investigate learning in the model but rather focused on demonstrating that invariant recognition in clutter is possible using a simple hierarchical feedforward architecture. Hence, except for the C2 to VTU connections, which are learned, all connections were preset by picking a simple pooling scheme in C1 (described above, resulting in 46,000 C1 cells) and a combinatorial rule to create S2 features from C1 inputs (yielding close to three million S2 cells), which were then pooled over to yield the final 256 complex composite

feature detectors in C2. The actual number of cells required to perform the tasks is likely to be lower—in fact, a model with bigger pooling ranges in C1 resulting in about one-fourth the number of S2 cells has been shown to have very similar recognition rates. The crucial observation is that if additional objects are to be recognized irrespective of scale and position, the addition of only one unit in the top layer, with sparse connections to the (256) C2 units, is required. Furthermore, in the case of a distributed code, where individual neurons participate in the coding of several objects, requirements are likely to be even less. This does not appear to be specific to the class of paperclip objects: the exact same model described in this paper has already been applied successfully (with the only difference being the appropriate setting of the weights from the C2 units to the VTUs) to the recognition of computer-rendered images of cars (Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1996b). Thus, the recognition of different classes of objects would only require the addition of more view-tuned units in the top layer of the network.

How could stimulus qualities other than shape, such as color, be added to the model? There are two straightforward options, both of which have some experimental support: (1) to make cells in the first layer color selective, i.e., to have additional sets of S1 cells, at each orientation and scale, for different colors; or (2) to have “blob” cells in S1 that respond to a certain color, in addition to the present noncolor-selective S1 cells. Driven by the demands of the task in the same way composite features would be learned in a shape-only model, higher S layers would combine units selective to different colors, or units tuned to a certain orientation and blobs responding to a certain color, respectively. So far, there are no systematic physiological studies investigating the extent of color tuning of IT cells to a degree similar to the studies on shape tuning: current studies, such as Missal et al. (1997), have mainly limited themselves to global changes of object color. Once more detailed data are available, it will be interesting to see which of the two schemes, or if a combination of both, can yield the required color specificity.

Although clearly further work is required to determine whether the generality and power of a representational scheme such as the one outlined here could ultimately account for the vast representational abilities of the brain, we believe that the model provides evidence that “the binding problem,” as commonly conceived, may only be a problem in the eye of the beholder, but it is not necessarily a problem for all object recognition devices and perhaps may not be one for the brain.

References

A comprehensive reference list for all reviews can be found on pages 111–125.

References for Reviews on the Binding Problem

- Abeles, M. (1982a). Role of cortical neuron: integrator or coincidence detector? *Israel J. Med. Sci.* *18*, 83–92.
- Abeles, M. (1982b). *Studies of Brain Function, Volume 6, Local Cortical Circuits: An Electrophysiological Study* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).
- Abeles, M. (1991). *Corticomics: Neural Circuits of Cerebral Cortex* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Abeles, M., Prut, Y., Bergman, H., and Vaadia, E. (1994). Synchronization in neuronal transmission and its importance for information processing. *Prog. Brain Res.* *102*, 395–404.
- Adam, H. (1998). *Figur-Grund-Abtrennung mit rückgekoppelten Merkmalsfeldern*. PhD Thesis, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ruhr University, Bochum, Germany.
- Adelson, E.H. (1993). Perceptual organization and the judgment of brightness. *Science* *262*, 2042–2044.
- Adelson, E.H. (1999). Lightness perception and lightness illusions. In *The Cognitive Neurosciences*, M.S. Gazzaniga, ed. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Adelson, E.H., and Movshon, J.A. (1982). Phenomenal coherence of moving visual patterns. *Nature* *300*, 523–525.
- Aertsen, A., Gerstein, G.L., Habib, M.K., and Palm, G. (1989). Dynamics of neuronal firing correlation: modulation of “effective connectivity.” *J. Neurophysiol.* *61*, 900–917.
- Aertsen, A., Diesmann, M., and Gewaltig, M.-O. (1996). Propagation of synchronous spiking activity in feedforward neural networks. *J. Physiol. (Paris)* *90*, 243–247.
- Aiple, F., and Krüger, J. (1988). Neuronal synchrony in monkey striate cortex: interocular signal flow and dependency on spike rates. *Exp. Brain Res.* *72*, 141–149.
- Alais, D., Blake, R., and Lee, S.-H. (1998). Visual features that vary together over time group together over space. *Nat. Neurosci.* *1*, 160–164.
- Albright, T.D., and Stoner, G.R. (1995). Visual motion perception. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *92*, 2433–2440.
- Alonso, J.-M., and Martinez, L.M. (1998). Functional connectivity between simple cells and complex cells in cat striate cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* *1*, 395–403.
- Alonso, J.-M., Usrey, W.M., and Reid, R.C. (1996). Precisely correlated firing in cells of the lateral geniculate nucleus. *Nature* *383*, 815–819.
- Andersen, R.A. (1995). Encoding of intention and spatial location in the posterior parietal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* *5*, 457–469.
- Andersen, R.A. (1997). Multimodal integration for the representation of space in the posterior parietal cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* *352*, 1421–1428.
- Anderson, C.H., and Van Essen, D.C. (1987). Shifter circuits: a computational strategy for dynamic aspects of visual processing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *84*, 6297–6301.
- Arbib, M. (1964). *Brains, Machines and Mathematics* (New York: McGraw-Hill).
- Arguin, M., Cavanagh, P., and Joannette, Y. (1994). Visual feature integration with an attention deficit. *Brain Cogn.* *24*, 44–56.
- Arieli, A., Sterkin, A., Grinvald, A., and Aertsen, A. (1996). Dynamics of ongoing activity: explanation of the large variability in evoked cortical responses. *Science* *273*, 1868–1871.
- Ashby, F.G., Prinzmetal, W., Ivry, R., and Maddox, W.T. (1996). A formal theory of feature binding in object perception. *Psychol. Rev.* *103*, 165–192.
- Assad, J., and Maunsell, J. (1995). Neuronal correlates of inferred motion in primate posterior parietal cortex. *Nature* *373*, 518–521.
- Bair, W. (1999). Spike timing in the mammalian visual system. *Curr Opin. Neurobiol.*, in press.
- Bair, W. and Koch, C. (1995). Precision and reliability of neocortical spike trains in the behaving monkey. In *The Neurobiology of Computation*, B.J. Kluwer, ed. (New York: Academic Publishers).
- Bair, W., and Koch, C. (1996). Temporal precision of spike trains in extrastriate cortex of the behaving monkey. *Neural Comput.* *8*, 44–66.
- Bair, W., and O’Keefe, L.P. (1998). The influence of fixational eye movements on the response of neurons in area MT of the macaque. *Vis. Neurosci.* *15*, 779–786.
- Bair, W., Koch, C., Newsome, W., and Britten, K. (1994). Power spectrum analysis of bursting cells in area MT in the behaving monkey. *J. Neurosci.* *14*, 2870–2892.
- Bair, W., Cavanaugh, J.R., and Movshon, J.A. (1997). Reconstructing stimulus velocity from neuronal responses in area MT. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, M.C. Mozer, M.I. Jordan, and T. Petsche, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Baldi, P., and Meir, R. (1990). Computing with arrays of coupled oscillators: an application to preattentive texture discrimination. *Neural Comput.* *2*, 458–471.
- Ballard, D.H., Hinton, G.E., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1983). Parallel visual computation. *Nature* *306*, 21–26.
- Barbas, H. (1988). Anatomic organization of basoventral and medio-dorsal visual recipient prefrontal regions in the rhesus monkey. *J. Comp. Neurol.* *276*, 313–342.
- Barbas, H., and Pandya, D.N. (1989). Architecture and intrinsic connections of the prefrontal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *J. Comp. Neurol.* *286*, 353–375.
- Barlow, H.B. (1972). Single units and cognition: a neurone doctrine for perceptual psychology. *Perception* *1*, 371–394.
- Barlow, H.B. (1981). Critical limiting factors in the design of the eye and visual cortex. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* *212*, 1–34.
- Barlow, H.B. (1985). The twelfth Bartlett memorial lecture: the role of single neurons in the psychology of perception. *Quart. J. Exp. Psychol.* *37*, 121–145.
- Bauer, H.-U., and Pawelzik, K. (1993). Alternating oscillatory and stochastic dynamics in a model for a neuronal assembly. *Physica D69*, 380–393.
- Baylis, G.C., Rolls, E.T., and Leonard, C.M. (1985). Selectivity between faces in the responses of a population of neurons in the cortex in the superior temporal sulcus of the monkey. *Brain Res.* *342*, 91–102.
- Baylis, G.C., Rolls, E.T., and Leonard, C.M. (1987). Functional subdivisions of the temporal lobe neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* *7*, 330–342.
- Beck, J. (1966). Perceptual grouping produced by changes in orientation and shape. *Science* *154*, 538–540.
- Beck, J. (1967). Perceptual grouping produced by line figures. *Percept. Psychophys.* *2*, 491–495.
- Behrmann, M., and Tipper, S.P. (1994). Object-based attentional mechanisms: evidence from patients with unilateral neglect. In *Attention and Performance, Volume 15, Conscious and Nonconscious Information Processing*, C. Umiltà and M. Moscovitch, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Bergen, J.R., and Adelson, E.H. (1988). Early vision and texture perception. *Nature* *333*, 363–364.
- Bergen, J.R., and Julesz, B. (1983). Parallel versus serial processing in rapid pattern discrimination. *Nature* *303*, 696–698.
- Best, J., Reuss, S., and Dinse, H.R.O. (1986). Lamina-specific differences of visual latencies following photic stimulation in the cat striate cortex. *Brain Res.* *385*, 356–360.
- Biederman, I. (1981). On the semantics of a glance at a scene. In *Perceptual Organization*, M. Kubovy and J. Pomerantz, eds. (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates).
- Biederman, I. (1987). Recognition by components: A theory of human image understanding. *Psychol. Rev.* *94*, 115–147.
- Biederman, I. and Kalocsai, P. (1997). Neurocomputational bases of object and face recognition. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* *352*, 1203–1219.
- Bienenstock, E. (1995). A model of neocortex. *Network* *6*, 179–224.

- Bienenstock, E., and von der Malsburg, C. (1987). A neural network for invariant pattern recognition. *Europhys. Lett.* **4**, 121–126.
- Blake, R., and Yang, Y. (1997). Spatial and temporal coherence in perceptual binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 7115–7119.
- Booth, M., and Rolls, E. (1998). View-invariant representations of familiar objects by neurons in the inferior temporal visual cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **8**, 510–523.
- Borg-Graham, L., Monier, C., and Fregnac, Y. (1996). Voltage-clamp measurement of visually-evoked conductances with whole-cell patch recordings in primary visual cortex. *J. Physiol. (Paris)* **90**, 185–188.
- Borg-Graham, L.J., Monier, C., and Fregnac, Y. (1998). Visual input evokes transient and strong shunting inhibition in visual cortical neurons. *Nature* **393**, 369–373.
- Borisyuk, R.M., Borisyuk, G.N., and Kazanovich, Y.B. (1998). The synchronization principle in modelling of binding and attention. *Membr. Cell Biol.* **11**, 753–761.
- Bosking, W.H., Zhang, Y., Schofield, B., and Fitzpatrick, D. (1997). Orientation selectivity and the arrangement of horizontal connections in tree shrew striate cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 2112–2127.
- Boussaoud, D., Ungerleider, L.G., and Desimone, R. (1990). Pathways for motion analysis: cortical connections of the medial superior temporal and fundus of the superior temporal visual area in the macaque. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **296**, 462–495.
- Bouyer, J.J., Montaron, M.F., and Rougeul, A. (1981). Fast frontoparietal rhythms during combined focused attentive behaviour and immobility in cat: cortical and thalamic localizations. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* **51**, 244–252.
- Bradley, D.C., Chang, G.C., and Andersen, R.A. (1998). Encoding of three-dimensional structure-from-motion by primate area MT neurons. *Nature* **392**, 714–717.
- Bragin, A., Jando, G., Nadasdy, Z., Hetke, J., Wise, K., and Buzsáki, G. (1995). Gamma (40–100 Hz) oscillation in the hippocampus of the behaving rat. *J. Neurosci.* **15**, 47–60.
- Braitenberg, V. (1978). Cell assemblies in the cerebral cortex. In *Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, Volume 21, Theoretical Approaches in Complex Systems*, R. Heim and G. Palm, eds. (Berlin: Springer).
- Braitenberg, V., and Schuz, A. (1991). *Anatomy of the Cortex: Statistics and Geometry* (Berlin: Springer).
- Braun, J., and Julesz, B. (1998). Dividing attention at little cost: detection and discrimination tasks. *Percept. Psychophys.* **60**, 1–23.
- Bravo, M., and Blake, R. (1990). Preattentive vision and perceptual groups. *Perception* **19**, 515–522.
- Brecht, M., Singer, W., and Engel, A.K. (1998). Correlation analysis of corticotectal interactions in the cat visual system. *J. Neurophysiol.* **79**, 2394–2407.
- Bressler, S.L., Coppola, R., and Nakamura, R. (1993). Episodic multi-regional cortical coherence at multiple frequencies during visual task performance. *Nature* **366**, 153–156.
- Briand, K.A., and Klein, R.M. (1987). Is Posner's "beam" the same as Triesman's "glue"? On the relation between visual orienting and feature integration theory. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* **13**, 228–241.
- Britten, K.H., Shadlen, M.N., Newsome, W.T., and Movshon, J.A. (1992). The analysis of visual motion: a comparison of neuronal and psychophysical performance. *J. Neurosci.* **12**, 4745–4765.
- Britten, K.H., Newsome, W.T., Shadlen, M.N., Celebrini, S., and Movshon, J.A. (1996). A relationship between behavioral choice and the visual responses of neurons in macaque MT. *Vis. Neurosci.* **13**, 87–100.
- Brody, C.D. (1998). Slow covariations in neuronal resting potentials can lead to artefactually fast cross-correlations in their spike trains. *J. Neurophysiol.* **80**, 3345–3351.
- Brody, C.D. (1999a). Disambiguating different covariation types. *Neural Comput.* **11**, 1527–1535.
- Brody, C.D. (1999b). Correlations without synchrony. *Neural Comput.* **11**, 1537–1551.
- Brody, C.D. (1999c). Latency, excitability, and spike timing covariations. *Neural Comput.*, in press.
- Bruce, C., Desimone, R., and Gross, C. (1981). Visual properties of neurons in a polysensory area in the superior temporal sulcus of the macaque. *J. Neurophysiol.* **46**, 369–384.
- Bülthoff, H., and Edelman, S. (1992). Psychophysical support for a two-dimensional view interpolation theory of object recognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **89**, 60–64.
- Bülthoff, H.H., Edelman, S.Y., and Tarr, M.J. (1995). How are three-dimensional objects represented in the brain? *Cereb. Cortex* **3**, 247–260.
- Buračas, G., Zador, A., DeWeese, M., and Albright, T. (1998). Efficient discrimination of temporal patterns by motion-sensitive neurons in primate visual cortex. *Neuron* **20**, 959–969.
- Burr, D. (1979). Acuity for apparent vernier offset. *Vision Res.* **19**, 835–837.
- Bush, P., and Sejnowski, T. (1996). Inhibition synchronizes sparsely connected cortical neurons within and between columns in realistic network models. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* **3**, 91–110.
- Bushnell, M.C., Goldberg, M.E., and Robinson, D.L. (1981). Behavioral enhancement of visual responses in monkey cerebral cortex. I. Modulation in posterior parietal cortex related to selective visual attention. *J. Neurophysiol.* **46**, 755–772.
- Buzsáki, G. (1996). The hippocampo-neocortical dialogue. *Cereb. Cortex* **6**, 81–92.
- Buzsáki, G., and Chrobak, J.J. (1995). Temporal structure in spatially organized neuronal ensembles: a role for interneuronal networks. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **5**, 504–510.
- Buzsáki, G., Leung, L.S., and Vanderwolf, C.H. (1983). Cellular basis of hippocampal EEG in the behaving rat. *Brain Res.* **6**, 139–171.
- Caminiti, R., Ferraina, S., and Johnson, P.B. (1996). The sources of visual information to the primate frontal lobe: a novel role for the superior parietal lobule. *Cereb. Cortex* **6**, 319–328.
- Carney, T., Paradiso, M., and Freeman, R. (1989). A physiological correlate of the Pulfrich effect in cortical neurons of the cat. *Vision Res.* **29**, 155–165.
- Carney, T., Silverstein, D., and Klein, S. (1995). Vernier acuity during image rotation and translation: visual performance limits. *Vision Res.* **35**, 1951–1964.
- Castelo-Branco, M., Neuenschwander, S., and Singer, W. (1998). Synchronization of visual responses between the cortex, lateral geniculate nucleus, and retina in the anesthetized cat. *J. Neurosci.* **18**, 6395–6410.
- Cave, K.R. (1999). The Feature Gate model of visual selection. *Psychol. Res.*, in press.
- Cave, K.R., and Bichot, N.P. (1999). Visuo-spatial attention: beyond a spotlight model. *Psychonom. Bull. Rev.*, in press.
- Cave, K.R., and Kosslyn, S.M. (1989). Varieties of size-specific visual selection. *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* **118**, 148–164.
- Cave, K.R., and Wolfe, J.M. (1990). Modeling the role of parallel processing in visual search. *Cogn. Psychol.* **22**, 225–271.
- Chance, F., Nelson, S., and Abbott, L. (1999). Complex cells as cortically amplified simple cells. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2**, 277–282.
- Chelazzi, L., Miller, E.K., Duncan, J., and Desimone, R.C. (1993). A neural basis for visual search in inferior temporal cortex. *Nature* **363**, 345–347.
- Chelazzi, L., Duncan, J., Miller, E.K., and Desimone, R. (1998). Responses of neurons in inferior temporal cortex during memory-guided visual search. *J. Neurophysiol.* **80**, 2918–2940.
- Cheng, K., Hasegawa, T., Saleem, K.S., and Tanaka, K. (1994). Comparison of neuronal selectivity for stimulus speed, length, and contrast in the prestriate visual cortex areas V4 and MT of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* **71**, 2269–2280.
- Chino, Y., Shansky, M., Jankowski, W., and Banser, F. (1983). Effects of rearing kittens with convergent strabismus on development of receptive-field properties in striate cortex neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* **50**, 265–286.
- Chino, Y.M., Smith, E.L., Wada, H., Ridder, W.H., Langston, A.L., and Leshner, G.A. (1991). Disruption of binocularly correlated signals alters the postnatal development of spatial properties in cat striate cortical neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* **65**, 841–859.

- Chrobak, J.J., and Buzsaki, G. (1998). Gamma oscillations in the entorhinal cortex of the freely behaving rat. *J. Neurosci.* *18*, 388–398.
- Churchland, P.S., Ramachandran, V.S., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1994). A critique of pure vision. In *Large-Scale Neuronal Theories of the Brain*, C. Koch and J.L. Davis, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Cobb, S.R., Buhl, E.H., Halasy, K., Paulsen, O., and Somogyi, P. (1995). Synchronization of neuronal activity in hippocampus by individual GABAergic interneurons. *Nature* *378*, 75–78.
- Cohen, A., and Ivry, R.B. (1989). Illusory conjunctions inside and outside the focus of attention. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *15*, 650–663.
- Cohen, A., and Ivry, R.B. (1991). Density effects in conjunction search: evidence for coarse location mechanism of feature integration. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *17*, 891–901.
- Colby, C.L., and Goldberg, M.E. (1999). Space and attention in parietal cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* *22*, 319–349.
- Colby, C.L., Duhamel, J.-R., and Goldberg, M.E. (1996). Visual, presaccadic, and cognitive activation of single neurons in monkey lateral intraparietal area. *J. Neurophysiol.* *76*, 2841–2852.
- Connor, C.E., Gallant, J.L., Preddie, D.C., and Van Essen, D.C. (1996). Responses in area V4 depend on the spatial relationship between stimulus and attention. *J. Neurophysiol.* *75*, 1306–1308.
- Connor, C., Preddie, D., Gallant, J., and van Essen, D. (1997). Spatial attention effects in macaque area V4. *J. Neurosci.* *17*, 3201–3214.
- Connors, B.W., and Gutnick, M.J. (1990). Intrinsic firing patterns of diverse neocortical neurons. *Trends Neurosci.* *13*, 99–104.
- Connors, B.W., Gutnick, M.J., and Prince, D.A. (1982). Electrophysiological properties of neocortical neurons in vitro. *J. Neurophysiol.* *48*, 1302–1320.
- Corbetta, M., Miezin, F.M., Shulman, G.L., and Petersen, S.E. (1993). A PET study of visuospatial attention. *J. Neurosci.* *13*, 1202–1226.
- Corbetta, M., Shulman, G.L., Miezin, F.M., and Petersen, S.E. (1995). Superior parietal cortex activation during spatial attention shifts and visual feature conjunction. *Science* *270*, 802–805.
- Cowey, A., and Gross, C.G. (1970). Effects of foveal prestriate and inferotemporal lesions on visual discrimination by rhesus monkeys. *Exp. Brain Res.* *11*, 128–144.
- Creutzfeldt, O.D., Garey, L.J., Kuroda, R., and Wolff, J.R. (1977). The distribution of degenerating axons after small lesions in the intact and isolated visual cortex of the cat. *Exp. Brain Res.* *27*, 419–440.
- Crewther, D., and Crewther, S. (1990). Neural site of strabismic amblyopia in cats: spatial frequency deficit in primary cortical neurons. *Exp. Brain Res.* *79*, 615–622.
- Crick, F. (1984). Function of the thalamic reticular complex: the searchlight hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *81*, 4586–4590.
- Crick, F., and Koch, C. (1990a). Some reflections on visual awareness. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* *55*, 953–962.
- Crick, F., and Koch, C. (1990b). Towards a neurobiological theory of consciousness. *Sem. Neurosci.* *2*, 263–275.
- Damasio, A.R. (1985). Disorders of complex visual processing: agnosia, achromatopsia, Balint's syndrome, and related difficulties of orientation and construction. In *Principles of Behavioral Neurology*, M.M. Mesulam, ed. (Philadelphia: Davis).
- Das, A., and Gilbert, C.D. (1995). Receptive field expansion in adult visual cortex is linked to dynamic changes in strength of cortical connections. *J. Neurophysiol.* *74*, 779–792.
- Das, A., and Gilbert, C.D. (1999). Topography of contextual modulations mediated by short-range interactions in primary visual cortex. *Nature* *399*, 655–661.
- Dean, P. (1976). Effects of inferotemporal lesions on the behavior of monkeys. *Psychol. Bull.* *83*, 41–71.
- DeAngelis, G.C., Ghose, G.M., Ohzawa, I., and Freeman, R.D. (1999). Functional micro-organization of primary visual cortex: receptive field analysis of nearby neurons. *J. Neurosci.* *19*, 4046–4064.
- deCharms, R., and Merzenich, M. (1996). Primary cortical representation of sounds by the coordination of action-potential timing. *Nature* *381*, 610–613.
- deCharms, R.C., Blake, D.T., and Merzenich, M.M. (1998). Optimizing sound features for cortical neurons. *Science* *280*, 1439–1443.
- De Oliveira, S.C., Thiele, A., and Hoffmann, K.P. (1997). Synchronization of neuronal activity during stimulus expectation in a direction discrimination task. *J. Neurosci.* *17*, 9248–9260.
- Deppisch, J., Bauer, H.-U., Schillen, T.B., König, P., Pawelzik, K., and Geisel, T. (1993). Alternating oscillatory and stochastic states in a network of spiking neurons. *Network* *4*, 243–257.
- Desimone, R. (1991). Face-selective cells in the temporal cortex of monkeys. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* *3*, 1–8.
- Desimone, R., and Duncan, J. (1995). Neural mechanisms of selective visual attention. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* *18*, 193–222.
- Desimone, R., and Schein, S. (1987). Visual properties of neurons in area V4 of the macaque: sensitivity to stimulus form. *J. Neurophysiol.* *57*, 835–868.
- Desimone, R., and Ungerleider, L. (1986). Multiple visual areas in the caudal superior temporal sulcus of the macaque. *J. Comp. Neurol.* *248*, 164–189.
- Desimone, R., Albright, T.D., Gross, C.G., and Bruce, C. (1984). Stimulus-selective properties of inferior temporal neurons in the macaque. *J. Neurosci.* *4*, 2051–2062.
- Desimone, R., Schein, S.J., Moran, J., and Ungerleider, L.G. (1985). Contour, color and shape analysis beyond the striate cortex. *Vision Res.* *25*, 441–452.
- Dev, P. (1975). Perception of depth surfaces in random-dot stereograms: a neural model. *Int. J. Man-Machine Stud.* *7*, 511–528.
- DeValois, R.L., and DeValois, K.K. (1988). *Spatial Vision* (New York: Oxford University Press).
- DeValois, R.L., Albrecht, D.G., and Thorell, L.G. (1982a). Spatial frequency selectivity of cells in macaque visual cortex. *Vision Res.* *22*, 545–559.
- DeValois, R.L., Yund, E.W., and Hepler, N. (1982b). The orientation and direction selectivity of cells in macaque visual cortex. *Vision Res.* *22*, 531–544.
- DeYoe, E.A., and Van Essen, D.C. (1985). Segregation of efferent connections and receptive field properties in visual area V2 of the macaque. *Nature* *317*, 58–61.
- DeYoe, E.A., and Van Essen, D.C. (1988). Concurrent processing streams in monkey visual cortex. *Trends Neurosci.* *11*, 219–226.
- Diesmann, M., Gewaltig, M.-O., and Aertsen, A. (1997). Cortical synfire activity—a two dimensional state space analysis. In *From Membrane to Mind: Proceedings of the 25th Gottinger Neurobiology Conference*, H. Wässle and N. Elsner, eds. (Stuttgart: Thieme-Verlag).
- Dixon, P., and Shedden, J.M. (1987). Conceptual and physical differences in the category effect. *Percept. Psychophys.* *42*, 457–464.
- Dodwell, P.C., and Humphrey, G.K. (1992). A functional theory of the McCollough effect. *Psych. Rev.* *97*, 78–89.
- Donnelly, N., Humphreys, G.W., and Riddoch, M.J. (1991). Parallel computation of primitive shape descriptions. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *17*, 561–570.
- Donoghue, J.P., Sanes, J.N., Hatsopoulos, N.G., and Gaal, G. (1998). Neural discharge and local field potential oscillations in primate motor cortex during voluntary movement. *J. Neurophysiol.* *79*, 159–173.
- Doupe, A.J. (1997). Song- and order-selective neurons in the songbird anterior forebrain and their emergence during vocal development. *J. Neurosci.* *17*, 1147–1167.
- Dubner, R., and Zeki, S.M. (1971). Response properties and receptive fields of cells in an anatomically defined region of the superior temporal sulcus. *Brain Res.* *35*, 528–532.
- Duncan, J. (1983). Category effects in visual search: a failure to replicate the “oh-zero” phenomenon. *Percept. Psychophys.* *34*, 221–232.
- Duncan, J. (1984). Selective attention and the organization of visual information. *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* *113*, 501–517.
- Eckes, C., and Vorbrüggen, C.V. (1996). Combining data-driven and model-based cues for segmentation of video sequences. In *WCNN 1996* (San Diego: Lawrence Erlbaum).
- Eckhorn, R., Bauer, R., Jordan, W., Brosch, M., Kruse, W., Munk, M., and Reitboeck, H.J. (1988). Coherent oscillations: a mechanism for feature linking in the visual cortex? *Biol. Cybern.* *60*, 121–130.

- Eckhorn, R., Frien, A., Bauer, R., Woelbern, T., and Kehr, H. (1993). High frequency 60–90 Hz oscillations in primary visual cortex of awake monkey. *Neuroreport* 4, 243–246.
- Edelman, G.M. (1978). Group selection and phasic reentrant signaling: a theory of higher brain function. In *The Mindful Brain: Cortical Organization and the Group-Selective Theory of Higher Brain Function*. G.M. Edelman and V.B. Mountcastle, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Edelman, G.M. (1987). *Neural Darwinism: The Theory of Neuronal Group Selection* (New York: Basic Books).
- Edelman, G.M. (1989). *The Remembered Present: A Biological Theory of Consciousness* (New York: Basic Books).
- Edwards, D.P., Purpura, K.P., and Kaplan, E. (1995). Contrast sensitivity and spatial frequency response of primate cortical neurons in and around the cytochrome oxidase blobs. *Vision Res.* 35, 1501–1523.
- Eggers, H., and Blakemore, C. (1978). Physiological basis of an isometric amblyopia. *Science* 201, 264–267.
- Elder, J., and Zucker, S. (1993). The effect of contour closure on the rapid discrimination of two-dimensional shapes. *Vision Res.* 33, 981–991.
- Engel, A.K., König, P., Gray, C.M., and Singer, W. (1990). Stimulus-dependent neuronal oscillations in cat visual cortex: inter-columnar interaction as determined by cross-correlation analysis. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2, 588–606.
- Engel, A.K., König, P., Kreiter, A.K., and Singer, W. (1991a). Inter-hemispheric synchronization of oscillatory neuronal responses in cat visual cortex. *Science* 252, 1177–1179.
- Engel, A.K., Kreiter, A.K., König, P., and Singer, W. (1991b). Synchronization of oscillatory neuronal responses between striate and extrastriate visual cortical areas of the cat. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 6048–6052.
- Engel, A.K., König, P., and Singer, W. (1991c). Direct physiological evidence for scene segmentation by temporal coding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 9136–9140.
- Engel, A.K., König, P., and Singer, W. (1992a). Reply to: The functional nature of neuronal oscillations. *Trends Neurosci.* 15, 387–388.
- Engel, A.K., König, P., Kreiter, A.K., Chillen, T.B., and Singer, W. (1992b). Temporal coding in the visual cortex: new vista on integration in the nervous system. *Trends Neurosci.* 15, 218–225.
- Engel, A.K., Roelfsema, P.R., Fries, P., Brecht, M., and Singer, W. (1997). Role of the temporal domain for response selection and perceptual binding. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 571–582.
- Eskandar, E.N., and Assad, J.A. (1999). Dissociation of visual motor and predictive signals in parietal cortex during visual guidance. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 88–93.
- Fahle, M., and Koch, C. (1995). Spatial displacement, but not temporal asynchrony, destroys figural binding. *Vision Res.* 35, 491–494.
- Fahle, M., and Poggio, T. (1981). Visual hyperacuity: spatio-temporal interpolation in human vision. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 213, 451–477.
- Fang, S.-P., and Wu, P. (1989). Illusory conjunctions in the perception of Chinese characters. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 15, 434–447.
- Felleman, D.J., and Van Essen, D.C. (1987). Receptive field properties of neurons in area V3 of macaque monkey extrastriate cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 57, 889–920.
- Felleman, D.J., and van Essen, D.C. (1991). Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 1, 1–47.
- Ferrera, V.P., and Lisberger, S.G. (1995). Attention and target selection for smooth pursuit eye movements. *J. Neurosci.* 15, 7472–7484.
- Ferrera, V.P., Rudolph, K.K., and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1994). Responses of neurons in the parietal and temporal visual pathways during a motion task. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 6171–6186.
- Fetz, E., Toyama, K., and Smith, W. (1991). Synaptic interactions between cortical neurons. In *Cerebral Cortex*, A. Peters and E.G. Jones, eds. (New York: Plenum Press).
- Fodor, J.A., and Pylyshyn, Z.W. (1988). Connectionism and cognitive architecture: a critical analysis. *Cognition* 28, 3–71.
- Folk, C.L., and Egeth, H. (1989). Does the identification of simple features require serial processing? *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 15, 97–110.
- Foster, K.H., Gaska, J.P., Nagler, M., and Pollen, D.A. (1985). Spatial and temporal frequency selectivity of neurones in visual cortical areas V1 and V2 of the macaque monkey. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 365, 331–363.
- Franz, V., Gegenfurtner, K.R., Fahle, M., and Buelthoff, H.H. (1999). Grasping visual illusions: no evidence for a dissociation between perception and action. *Psychol. Sci.*, in press.
- Freeman, W.J. (1975). *Mass Action in the Nervous System* (New York: Academic Press).
- Freeman, W.J., and Skarda, C.A. (1985). Spatial EEG-patterns, nonlinear dynamics and perception: the neo-Sherrington view. *Brain Res. Rev.* 10, 147–175.
- Freiwald, W.A., Kreiter, A.K., and Singer, W. (1995). Stimulus dependent intercolumnar synchronization of single unit responses in cat area 17. *Neuroreport* 6, 2348–2352.
- Friedman-Hill, S.R., Robertson, L.C., and Treisman, A. (1995). Parietal contributions to visual feature binding: evidence from a patient with bilateral lesions. *Science* 269, 853–855.
- Friedman-Hill, S., Maldonado, P.E., and Gray, C.M. (1999). Temporal dynamics of neuronal activity in the striate cortex of alert macaque: I. Incidence and stimulus-dependence of oscillations. *J. Neurosci.*, in press.
- Frien, A., Eckhorn, R., Bauer, R., Woelbern, T., and Kehr, H. (1994). Stimulus-specific fast oscillations at zero phase between visual areas V1 and V2 of awake monkey. *Neuroreport* 5, 2273–2277.
- Fries, P., Roelfsema, P.R., Engel, A.K., König, P., and Singer, W. (1997). Synchronization of oscillatory responses in visual cortex correlates with perception in interocular rivalry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 12699–12704.
- Fuji, H., Ito, H., Aihara, K., Ichinose, N., and Tsukada, M. (1996). Dynamical cell assembly hypothesis-theoretical possibility of spatio-temporal coding in the cortex. *Neural Network* 9, 1303–1350.
- Fujita, I., Tanaka, K., Ito, M., and Cheng, K. (1992). Columns for visual features of objects in monkey inferotemporal cortex. *Nature* 360, 343–346.
- Fukushima, K. (1980). Neocognitron: a self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. *Biol. Cybern.* 36, 193–202.
- Gallant, J.L., Connor, C.E., Rakshit, S., Lewis, J.W., and Van Essen, D.C. (1996). Neural responses to polar, hyperbolic, and cartesian gratings in area V4 of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* 76, 2718–2739.
- Gattass, R., Sousa, A.P., and Gross, C.G. (1988). Visuotopic organization and extent of V3 and V4 of the macaque. *J. Neurosci.* 8, 1831–1845.
- Gawne, T.J., Kjaer, T.W., and Richmond, B.J. (1996). Latency: another potential code for feature binding in striate cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 76, 1356–1360.
- Geesaman, B.J., and Andersen, R.A. (1996). The analysis of complex motion patterns by form/cue invariant MSTd neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 4716–4732.
- Geisler, W.S., and Albrecht, D.G. (1995). Bayesian analysis of identification performance in monkey visual cortex: nonlinear mechanisms and stimulus certainty. *Vision Res.* 35, 2723–2730.
- Georgopoulos, A.P., Ashe, J., Smyrnis, N., and Taira, M. (1992). The motor cortex and the coding of force. *Science* 256, 1692–1695.
- Gerstein, G.L., Bedenbaugh, P., and Aertsen, M.H. (1989). Neuronal assemblies. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 36, 4–14.
- Gerstner, W., and van Hemmen, J.L. (1993). Coherence and incoherence in a globally coupled ensemble of pulse-emitting units. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 7, 312–315.
- Gerstner, W., Kempter, R., Van Hemmen, J.L., and Wagner, H. (1996). A neuronal learning rule for sub-millisecond temporal coding. *Nature* 383, 76–78.
- Ghose, G.M., and Freeman, R.D. (1992). Oscillatory discharge in the visual system: does it have a functional role? *J. Neurophysiol.* 68, 1558–1574.

- Ghose, G.M., and Freeman, R.D. (1997). Intracortical connections are not required for oscillatory activity in the visual cortex. *Vis. Neurosci.* *14*, 963R-979R.
- Ghose, G.M., and Maunsell, J. (1999). Specialized representations in visual cortex: a role for binding? *Neuron* *24*, this issue, 79-85.
- Ghose, G.M., and Ts'o, D.Y. (1997). Form processing modules in primate area V4. *J. Neurophysiol.* *77*, 2191-2196.
- Gibson, J.R., and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1997). The sensory modality specificity of neural activity related to memory in visual cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* *78*, 1263-1275.
- Gilbert, C.D., and Wiesel, T.N. (1979). Morphology and intracortical projections of functionally characterized neurones in the cat visual cortex. *Nature* *280*, 120-125.
- Gilbert, C.D., and Wiesel, T.N. (1983). Clustered intrinsic connections in cat visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *3*, 1116-1133.
- Gilbert, C.D., and Wiesel, T.N. (1989). Columnar specificity of intrinsic horizontal and cortico-cortical connections in cat visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *9*, 2432-2442.
- Givre, S.J., Arezzo, J.C., and Schroeder, C.E. (1995). Effects of wavelength on the timing and laminar distribution of illuminance-evoked activity in macaque V1. *Vis. Neurosci.* *12*, 229-239.
- Gizzi, M.S., Newsome, W.T., and Movshon, J.A. (1983). Directional selectivity of neurons in macaque MT. *Invest. Ophthalm. Vis. Sci.* *24 (suppl.)*, 107.
- Gizzi, M.S., Katz, E., Schumer, R.A., and Movshon, J.A. (1990). Selectivity for orientation and direction of motion of single neurons in cat striate and extrastriate visual cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* *63*, 1529-1543.
- Goldsmith, M. (1998). What's in a location? Comparing object-based and space-based models of feature integration in visual search. *J. Exp. Psychol.* *127*, 189-219.
- Goldstone, R.L. (1998). Perceptual learning. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* *49*, 585-612.
- Goodale, M. (1993). Visual pathways supporting perception and action in the primate cerebral cortex. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* *3*, 578-585.
- Goodale, M., and Humphrey, G. (1998). The objects of action and perception. *Cognition* *67*, 181-207.
- Goodale, M.A., and Milner, A.D. (1992). Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends Neurosci.* *15*, 20-25.
- Goodale, M.A., Milner, A.D., Jakobson, L.S., and Carey, D.P. (1991). A neurological dissociation between perceiving objects and grasping them. *Nature* *349*, 154-156.
- Goodale, M.A., Meenan, J.P., Bulthoff, H.H., Nicolle, D.A., Murphy, K. J., and Racicot, C.I. (1994). Separate neural pathways for visual analysis of object shape in perception and prehension. *Curr. Biol.* *4*, 604-610.
- Goolkasian, P. (1988). Illusory conjunctions in the processing of clock times. *J. Gen. Psychol.* *115*, 341-353.
- Gottlieb, J.P., Kusunoki, M., and Goldberg, M.E. (1998). The representation of visual salience in monkey parietal cortex. *Nature* *397*, 481-484.
- Graham, N. (1989). *Visual Pattern Analyzers* (New York: Oxford University Press).
- Gray, C.M. (1994). Synchronous oscillations in neuronal systems: mechanisms and functions. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* *7*, 11-38.
- Gray, C.M. (1999). The temporal correlation hypothesis of visual feature integration: still alive and well. *Neuron* *24*, this issue, 31-47.
- Gray, C.M., and McCormick, D.A. (1996). Chattering cells: superficial pyramidal neurons contributing to the generation of synchronous oscillations in the visual cortex. *Science* *274*, 109-113.
- Gray, C.M., and Singer, W. (1989). Stimulus-specific neuronal oscillations in orientation columns of cat visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *86*, 1698-1702.
- Gray, C.M., and Viana Di Prisco, G. (1997). Stimulus-dependent neuronal oscillations and local synchronization in striate cortex of the alert cat. *J. Neurosci.* *17*, 3239-3253.
- Gray, C.M., Koenig, P., Engel, A.K., and Singer, W. (1989). Oscillatory responses in cat visual cortex exhibit inter-columnar synchronization which reflects global stimulus properties. *Nature* *338*, 334-337.
- Gray, C.M., Engel, A.K., Koenig, P., and Singer, W. (1990). Stimulus-dependent neuronal oscillations in cat visual cortex: receptive field properties and feature dependence. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* *2*, 607-619.
- Gray, C.M., Engel, A.K., Koenig, P., and Singer, W. (1992). Synchronization of oscillatory neuronal responses in cat striate cortex: temporal properties. *Vis. Neurosci.* *8*, 337-347.
- Green, M. (1992). Visual search: detection, identification and localization. *Perception* *21*, 765-777.
- Gregory, R.L. (1970). *The Intelligent Eye* (London: Weidenfield and Nicholson).
- Gross, C.G., Cowey, A., and Manning, F.J. (1971). Further analysis of visual discrimination deficits following foveal prestriate and inferotemporal lesions in rhesus monkeys. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* *76*, 1-7.
- Gross, C.G., Rocha-Miranda, C.E., and Bender, D.B. (1972). Visual properties of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the macaque. *J. Neurophysiol.* *35*, 96-111.
- Grossberg, S. (1995). The attentive brain. *Am. Scientist* *83*, 438-449.
- Grossberg, S. (1999a). The link between brain learning, attention, and consciousness. *Conscious. Cogn.*, in press.
- Grossberg, S. (1999b). How does the cerebral cortex work? *Spat. Vis.*, in press.
- Grossberg, S., and Somers, D. (1991). Synchronized oscillations during cooperative feature linking in a cortical model of visual perception. *Neural Networks* *4*, 453-466.
- Haenny, P.D., Maunsell, J.H.R., and Schiller, P.H. (1988). State dependent activity in monkey visual cortex. II. Retinal and extraretinal factors in V4. *Exp. Brain Res.* *69*, 245-259.
- Hata, Y., Tsumoto, T., Sato, H., and Tamura, H. (1991). Horizontal interactions between visual cortical neurones studied by cross-correlation analysis in the cat. *J. Physiol.* *441*, 593-614.
- Hatsopoulos, N.G., Ojakangas, C.L., Paninski, L., and Donoghue, J.P. (1998). Information about movement direction obtained from synchronous activity of motor cortical neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *95*, 15706-15711.
- Hayek, F.A. (1952). *The Sensory Order* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).
- Hebb, D.O. (1949). *The Organization of Behavior* (New York: Wiley).
- Heeger, D. (1992). Normalization of cell responses in cat striate cortex. *Vis. Neurosci.* *9*, 181-197.
- Hellwig, B., Schuz, A., and Aertsen, A. (1994). Synapses on axon collaterals of pyramidal cells are spaced at random intervals: a Golgi study in the mouse cerebral cortex. *Biol. Cybern.* *71*, 1-12.
- Herculano-Houzel, S., Munk, M.H., Neuenschwander, S., and Singer, W. (1999). Precisely synchronized oscillatory firing patterns require electroencephalographic activation. *J. Neurosci.* *19*, 3992-4010.
- Hess, R., Campbell, F., and Greenhalgh, T. (1978). On the nature of the neural abnormality in human amblyopia: neural aberrations and neural sensitivity loss. *Pflügers Arch.* *377*, 201-207.
- Hinton, G.E.A. (1981). A parallel computation that assigns canonical object-based frames of reference. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Volume 2* (Vancouver).
- Hoffman, J.E. (1979). A two-stage model of visual search. *Percept. Psychophys.* *25*, 319-327.
- Hoffman, D.A., Magee, J.C., Colbert, C.M., and Johnston, D. (1997). K⁺ channel regulation of signal propagation in dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *Nature* *387*, 869-875.
- Hommel, B. (1998). Event files: evidence for automatic integration of stimulus-response episodes. *Vis. Cogn.* *5*, 183-216.
- Hopfield, J.J., and Hertz, A.V.M. (1995). Rapid local synchronization of action potentials: toward computation with coupled integrate-and-fire neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *92*, 6655-6662.
- Hoppensteadt, F.C., and Izhikevich, E.M. (1998). Thalamo-cortical interactions modeled by weakly connected oscillators: could the brain use FM radio principles? *Biosystems* *48*, 85-94.
- Horwitz, G.D., and Newsome, W.T. (1999). Separate signals for target selection and movement specification in the superior colliculus. *Science* *284*, 1158-1161.

- Houck, M.R., and Hoffman, J.E. (1986). Conjunction of color and form without attention. Evidence from an orientation-contingent color aftereffect. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *12*, 186–199.
- Howard, I.P., and Rogers, B.J. (1995). *Binocular Vision and Stereopsis* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Hubel, D., and Wiesel, T. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. *J. Physiol.* *160*, 106–154.
- Hubel, D., and Wiesel, T. (1965). Receptive fields and functional architecture in two nonstriate visual areas (18 and 19) of the cat. *J. Neurophysiol.* *28*, 229–289.
- Hubel, D.H., and Wiesel, T.N. (1968). Receptive fields and functional architecture of monkey striate cortex. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* *195*, 215–243.
- Hubel, D.H., and Wiesel, T.N. (1974). Sequence regularity and geometry or orientation columns in the monkey striate cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* *158*, 267–294.
- Huerta, P.T., and Lisman, J.E. (1996). Low-frequency stimulation at the throughs of Q-oscillation induces long-term depression of previously potentiated CA1 synapses. *J. Neurophysiol.* *75*, 877–884.
- Hummel, J.E., and Biederman, I. (1992). Dynamic binding in a neural network for shape recognition. *Psychol. Rev.* *99*, 480–517.
- Hummel, J.E., and Stankiewicz, B.J. (1996). An architecture for rapid, hierarchical structural description. In *Attention and Performance*, Volume XVI, T. Inui and J. McClelland, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Intraub, H. (1981). Identification and naming of briefly glimpsed visual scenes. In *Eye Movement: Cognition and Visual Perception*, D.F. Fisher, R.A. Monty, and J.W. Senders, eds. (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates).
- Intraub, H. (1985). Visual dissociation: an illusory conjunction of pictures and forms. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *11*, 431–442.
- Ito, M., and Gilbert, C.D. (1999). Attention modulates contextual influences in the primary visual cortex of alert monkeys. *Neuron* *22*, 593–604.
- Ivry, R.B., and Prinzmetal, W. (1991). Effect of feature similarity on illusory conjunctions. *Percept. Psychophys.* *49*, 105–116.
- Jensen, O., and Lisman, J.E. (1998). An oscillatory short-term memory buffer model can account for data on the Sternberg task. *J. Neurosci.* *18*, 10688–10699.
- Johnston, D., Magee, J.C., Colbert, C.M., and Christie, B.R. (1996). Active properties of neuronal dendrites. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* *19*, 165–186.
- Jones, J., and Palmer, L. (1987). An evaluation of the two-dimensional Gabor filter model of simple receptive fields in cat striate cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* *58*, 1233–1258.
- Jonides, J., and Gleitman, H. (1972). A conceptual category effect in visual search: O as letter or digit. *Percept. Psychophys.* *12*, 457–460.
- Julesz, B. (1975). Experiments in the visual perception of texture. *Sci. Am.* *232*, 34–43.
- Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and Effort* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall).
- Kahneman, D., and Henik, A. (1981). Perceptual organization and attention. In *Perceptual Organization*, M. Kubovy and J. Pomerantz, eds. (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates).
- Kahneman, D., Treisman, A., and Gibbs, B. (1992). The reviewing of object files: object-specific integration of information. *Cogn. Psychol.* *24*, 175–219.
- Kammen, D.M., Holmes, P.J., and Koch, C. (1989). Origin of oscillations in visual cortex: feedback versus local coupling. In *Models of Brain Functions*, R.M.J. Cotterill, ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Kanisza, G. (1979). *The Organization of Vision* (New York: Praeger).
- Kapadia, M.K., Ito, M., Gilbert, C.D., and Westheimer, G. (1995). Improvement in visual sensitivity by changes in local context: parallel studies in human observers and in V1 of alert monkeys. *Neuron* *15*, 843–856.
- Kastner, S., De Weerd, P., Desimone, R., and Ungerleider, L.G. (1998). Mechanisms of directed attention in the human extrastriate cortex as revealed by functional MRI. *Science* *282*, 108–111.
- Kastner, S., Pinsk, M.A., De Weerd, P., Desimone, R., and Ungerleider, L.G. (1999). Increased activity in human visual cortex during directed attention in the absence of visual stimulation. *Neuron* *22*, 751–761.
- Kefalea, E. (1998). Object localization and recognition for a grasping robot. In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society* (Aachen, Germany).
- Keil, K., Müller, M.M., Ray, W.J., Gruber, T., and Elbert, T. (1999). Human gamma band activity and perception of a Gestalt. *J. Neurosci.* *19*, 7152–7161.
- Kersten, D. (1999). High-level vision as statistical inference. In *The Cognitive Neurosciences*, M.S. Gazzaniga, ed. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Kilgard, M.P., and Merzenich, M.M. (1998). Plasticity of temporal information processing in the primary auditory cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* *1*, 727–731.
- Kim, J.-N., and Shadlen, M.N. (1999). Neural correlates of a decision in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of the macaque. *Nat. Neurosci.* *2*, 176–185.
- Kiorpes, L., and McKee, S.P. (1999). Amblyopia and its neural basis. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.*, in press.
- Kiorpes, L., and Movshon, J.A. (1996). Amblyopia: a developmental disorder of the central visual pathways. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* *61*, 39–48.
- Kiorpes, L., Kiper, D., O'Keefe, L., Cavanaugh, J., and Movshon, J. (1998). Neuronal correlates of amblyopia in the visual cortex of macaque monkeys with experimental strabismus and anisometropia. *J. Neurosci.* *18*, 6411–6424.
- Kiper, D.C., Gegenfurtner, K.R., and Movshon, J.A. (1996). Cortical oscillatory responses do not affect visual segmentation. *Vision Res.* *36*, 539–544.
- Kisvarday, Z.F., Toth, E., Rausch, M., and Eysel, U.T. (1997). Orientation-specific relationship between populations of excitatory and inhibitory lateral connections in the visual cortex of the cat. *Cereb. Cortex* *7*, 605–618.
- Kobatake, E., and Tanaka, K. (1994). Neuronal selectivities to complex object features in the ventral visual pathway of the macaque cerebral cortex. *J. Neurophys.* *71*, 856–857.
- Kobatake, E., Wang, G., and Tanaka, K. (1998). Effects of shape-discrimination training on the selectivity of inferotemporal cells in adult monkeys. *J. Neurophysiol.* *80*, 324–330.
- Koch, C. (1999). *Biophysics of Computation* (New York: Oxford University Press).
- Koch, C., and Poggio, T. (1999). Predicting the visual world: silence is golden. *Nat. Neurosci.* *2*, 9–10.
- Koch, C., and Ullman, S. (1985). Shifts in selective visual attention: towards the underlying neural circuitry. *Hum. Neurobiol.* *4*, 219–227.
- Koch, C., Rapp, M., and Segev, I. (1996). A brief history of time (constants). *Cereb. Cortex* *6*, 93–101.
- Koffka, K. (1935). *Principles of Gestalt Psychology* (New York: Harcourt, Brace and World).
- Koffka, K. (1969). *The Task of Gestalt Psychology* (Princeton: Princeton University Press).
- Köhler, W. (1930). *Gestalt Psychology* (London: Bell and Sons).
- Köhler, W., and Held, R. (1949). The cortical correlate of pattern vision. *Science* *110*, 414–419.
- Konen, W., and von der Malsburg, C. (1993). Learning to generalize from single examples in the dynamic link architecture. *Neural Comput.* *5*, 719–735.
- Konen, W., Maurer, T., and von der Malsburg, C. (1994). A fast dynamic link matching algorithm for invariant pattern recognition. *Neural Networks* *7*, 1019–1030.
- König, P., and Schillen, T.B. (1991). Stimulus-dependent assembly formation of oscillatory responses: I. Synchronization. *Neural Comput.* *3*, 155–166.
- König, P., Engel, A.K., and Singer, W. (1996). Integrator or coincidence detector? The role of the cortical neuron revisited. *Trends Neurosci.* *19*, 130–137.

- König, P., Engel, A.K., and Singer, W. (1995). Relation between oscillatory activity and long-range synchronization in cat visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *92*, 290–294.
- König, P., Engel, A.K., Löwel, S., and Singer, W. (1993). Squint affects synchronization of oscillatory responses in cat visual cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* *5*, 501–508.
- Kovacs, I., and Julesz, B. (1993). A closed curve is much more than an incomplete one: effect of closure in figure-ground segmentation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *90*, 7495–7497.
- Kreiter, A.K., and Singer, W. (1992). Oscillatory neuronal responses in the visual cortex of the awake macaque monkey. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* *4*, 369–375.
- Kreiter, A.K., and Singer, W. (1996). Stimulus-dependent synchronization of neuronal responses in the visual cortex of the awake macaque monkey. *J. Neurosci.* *16*, 2381–2396.
- Krüger, L.E. (1984). The category effect in visual search depends on physical rather than conceptual differences. *Percept. Psychophys.* *35*, 558–564.
- Krüger, J., and Aiple, F. (1988). Multimicroelectrode investigation of monkey striate cortex: spike train correlations in the infragranular layers. *J. Neurophysiol.* *60*, 798–828.
- Kwak, H., Dagenbach, D., and Egeth, H. (1991). Further evidence for a time-independent shift of the focus of attention. *Percept. Psychophys.* *49*, 473–480.
- Lades, M., Vorbrüggen, J.C., Buhmann, J., Lange, J., von der Malsburg, C., Würtz, R., and Konen, W. (1993). Distortion invariant object recognition in the dynamic link architecture. *IEEE Trans. Comput.* *42*, 300–311.
- Lamme, V.A. (1995). The neurophysiology of figure-ground segregation in primary visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *15*, 1605–1615.
- Lamme, V.A.F., and Spekreijse, H. (1999). Neuronal synchrony does not represent texture segregation. *Nature* *396*, 362–366.
- Lampl, I., Reichova, I., and Ferster, D. (1999). Synchronous membrane potential fluctuations in neurons of the cat visual cortex. *Neuron* *22*, 361–374.
- Larkum, M.E., Zhu, J.J., and Sakmann, B. (1999). A new cellular mechanism for coupling inputs arriving at different cortical layers. *Nature* *398*, 338–341.
- Lashley, K.S., Chow, K.L., and Semmes, J. (1951). An examination of the electrical field theory of cerebral integration. *Psychol. Rev.* *58*, 128–136.
- Laufer, M., and Verzeano, M. (1967). Periodic activity in the visual system of the cat. *Vision Res.* *7*, 215–229.
- Laurent, G. (1996). Dynamical representation of odors by oscillating and evolving neural assemblies. *Trends Neurosci.* *19*, 489–496.
- Laurent, G., Wehr, M., and Davidowitz, H. (1996). Temporal representations of odors in an olfactory network. *J. Neurosci.* *16*, 3837–3847.
- Lavie, N., and Driver, J. (1996). On the spatial extent of attention in object-based visual selection. *Percept. Psychophys.* *58*, 1238–1251.
- Lee, S.-H., and Blake, R. (1999). Visual form created solely from temporal structure. *Science* *284*, 1165–1168.
- Lee, C., Rohrer, W.H., and Sparks, D.L. (1988). Population coding of saccadic eye movements by neurons in the superior colliculus. *Nature* *332*, 357–360.
- Lee, D., Itti, L., Koch, C., and Braun, J. (1999). Attention activates winner-take-all competition among visual filters. *Nat. Neurosci.* *2*, 375–381.
- Legendy, C. (1970). The brain and its information trapping device. In *Progress in Cybernetics*, Volume 1, J. Rose, ed. (New York: Gordon and Breach).
- Lennie, P. (1981). The physiological basis of variations in visual latency. *Vision Res.* *21*, 815–824.
- Lennie, P., Krauskopf, J., and Sclar, G. (1990). Chromatic mechanisms in striate cortex of macaque. *J. Neurosci.* *10*, 649–669.
- Leon, M.I., and Shadlen, M.N. (1998). Exploring the neurophysiology of decisions. *Neuron* *21*, 669–672.
- Leonards, U., Singer, W., and Fahle, M. (1996). The influence of temporal phase differences on texture segmentation. *Vision Res.* *36*, 2689–2697.
- Leonards, U., and Singer, W. (1998). Two segmentation mechanisms with differential sensitivity for colour and luminance contrast. *Vision Res.* *38*, 101–109.
- Leonards, U., Singer, W., and Fahle, M. (1996). The influence of temporal phase differences on texture segmentation. *Vision Res.* *36*, 2689–2697.
- Leopold, D.A., and Logothetis, N.K. (1996). Activity changes in early visual cortex reflect monkeys' percepts during binocular rivalry. *Nature* *379*, 549–553.
- Leventhal, A.G., Thompson, K.G., Liu, D., Zhou, Y., and Ault, S.J. (1995). Concomitant sensitivity to orientation, direction, and color of cells in Layers 2, 3, and 4 of monkey striate cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *15*, 1808–1818.
- Levi, D., and Sharma, V. (1998). Integration of local orientation in strabismic amblyopia. *Vision Res.* *38*, 775–781.
- Levick, W.R., and Zacks, J.L. (1970). Responses of cat retinal ganglion cells to brief flashes of light. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* *206*, 677–700.
- Levitt, J.B., and Lund, J.S. (1997). Contrast dependence of contextual effects in primate visual cortex. *Nature* *387*, 73–76.
- Levitt, J.B., Kiper, D.C., and Movshon, J.A. (1994). Receptive field and functional architecture of macaque V2. *J. Neurophysiol.* *71*, 2517–2542.
- Levitt, J.B., Lund, J.S., and Yoshioka, T. (1996). Anatomical substrates for early stages in cortical processing of visual information in the macaque monkey. *Behav. Brain Res.* *76*, 5–19.
- Li, C.-Y., and Li, W. (1994). Extensive integration field beyond the classical receptive field of cat striate cortical neurons-classification and tuning properties. *Vision Res.* *34*, 2337–2355.
- Li, Z. (1999a). Visual segmentation by contextual influences via intracortical interactions in the primary visual cortex. *Network Comput. Neural Syst.* *10*, 187–212.
- Li, Z. (1999b). A neural model of contour integration in the primary visual cortex. *Neural Comput.*, in press.
- Lisberger, S.G., and Movshon, J.A. (1999). Visual motion analysis for pursuit eye movements in area MT of macaque monkeys. *J. Neurosci.* *19*, 2224–2246.
- Lisman, J.E. (1997). Bursts as a unit of neural information: making unreliable synapses reliable. *Trends Neurosci.* *20*, 38–43.
- Lisman, J.E., and Idiart, M.A. (1995). Storage of 7 ± 2 short-term memories in oscillatory subcycles. *Science* *267*, 1512–1515.
- Livingstone, M.S. (1996). Oscillatory firing and interneuronal correlations in squirrel monkey striate cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* *75*, 2467–2485.
- Livingstone, M.S., and Hubel, D.H. (1983). Specificity of cortico-cortical connections in monkey visual system. *Nature* *304*, 531–534.
- Livingstone, M.S., and Hubel, D.H. (1984). Anatomy and physiology of a color system in the primate visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *4*, 309–356.
- Livingstone, M.S., and Hubel, D.H. (1988). Segregation of form, color, movement, and depth: anatomy, physiology, and perception. *Science* *240*, 740–749.
- Llinas, R.R. (1988). The intrinsic electrophysiological properties of mammalian neurons: insights into central nervous system function. *Science* *242*, 1654–1664.
- Llinas, R., and Ribary, U. (1993). Coherent 40 Hz oscillation characterizes dream state in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *90*, 2078–2081.
- Llinas, R.R., Grace, A.A., and Yarom, Y. (1991). In vitro neurons in mammalian cortical layer 4 exhibit intrinsic oscillatory activity in the 10- to 50-Hz frequency range. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *88*, 897–901.
- Löwel, S., and Singer, W. (1992). Selection of intrinsic horizontal connections in the visual cortex by correlated neuronal activity. *Science* *255*, 209–212.
- Logothetis, N.K. (1998). Single units and conscious vision. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* *353*, 1801–1818.
- Logothetis, N.K., and Pauls, J. (1995). Psychophysical and physiological evidence for viewer-centered object representations in the primate. *Cereb. Cortex* *5*, 270–288.

- Logothetis, N.K., and Schall, J.D. (1989a). Neuronal activity related to motion perception in the middle temporal (MT) visual area of the macaque. In *Neural Mechanisms of Visual Perception: Proceedings of the Retina Research Foundation*, D.M.-K. Lam and C.D. Gilbert, eds. (The Woodlands, TX: Portfolio Publishing Company).
- Logothetis, N.K., and Schall, J.D. (1989b). Neuronal correlates of subjective visual perception. *Science* **245**, 761–763.
- Logothetis, N.K., Pauls, J., and Poggio, T. (1995). Shape representation in the inferior temporal cortex of monkeys. *Curr. Biol.* **5**, 552–563.
- Luck, S.J., and Vogel, E.K. (1997). The capacity of visual working memory for features and conjunctions. *Nature* **390**, 279–281.
- Luck, S.J., Chelazzi, L., Hillyard, S.A., and Desimone, R. (1997a). Neural mechanisms of spatial selective attention in areas V1, V2, and V4 of macaque visual cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **77**, 24–42.
- Luck, S.J., Girelli, M., McDermott, M.T., and Ford, M.A. (1997b). Bridging the gap between monkey neurophysiology and human perception: an ambiguity resolution theory of visual selective attention. *Cogn. Psychol.* **33**, 64–87.
- Lumer, E.D., Edelman, G.M., and Tononi, G. (1997a). Neural dynamic in a model of the thalamocortical system. I. Layers, loops and the emergence of fast synchronous rhythms. *Cereb. Cortex* **7**, 207–227.
- Lumer, E.D., Edelman, G.M., and Tononi, G. (1997b). Neural dynamics in a model of the thalamocortical system. II. The role of neural synchrony tested through perturbations of spike timing. *Cereb. Cortex* **7**, 228–236.
- Luthi, A., and McCormick, D.A. (1998). H-current: properties of a neuronal and network pacemaker. *Neuron* **21**, 9–12.
- Lytton, W.W., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1991). Simulations of cortical pyramidal neurons synchronized by inhibitory interneurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* **66**, 1059–1079.
- MacLeod, K., and Laurent, G. (1996). Distinct mechanisms for synchronization and temporal patterning of odor-encoding neural assemblies. *Science* **274**, 976–979.
- MacLeod, K., Backer, A., and Laurent, G. (1998). Who reads temporal information contained across synchronized and oscillatory spike trains? *Nature* **395**, 693–698.
- Madler, C., and Poppel, E. (1987). Auditory evoked potentials indicate the loss of neuronal oscillations during general anesthesia. *Naturwissenschaften* **74**, 42–43.
- Magee, J.C., and Johnstone, D.A. (1997). A synaptically controlled, associative signal for Hebbian plasticity in hippocampal neurons. *Science* **275**, 209–213.
- Mainen, Z.F., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1995). Reliability of spike timing in neocortical neurons. *Science* **268**, 1503–1506.
- Malach, R., Amir, Y., Harel, M., and Grinvald, A. (1993). Relationship between intrinsic connections and functional architecture revealed by optical imaging and in vivo targeted biocytin injections in primate striate cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90**, 10469–10473.
- Maldonado, P.E., Friedman-Hill, S.R., and Gray, C.M. (1999). Temporal dynamics of neuronal activity in the striate cortex of alert macaque: II. Short and long-range temporally-correlated activity. *J. Neurosci.*, in press.
- Marcelja, S. (1980). Mathematical description of the responses of simple cortical cells. *J. Optic. Soc. Am.* **70**, 1297–1300.
- Margulis, M., and Tang, C.-M. (1998). Temporal integration can readily switch between sublinear and supralinear summation. *J. Neurophysiol.* **79**, 2809–2813.
- Markram, H., Lübke, J., Frotscher, M., and Sakmann, B. (1997). Regulation of synaptic efficacy by coincidence of postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. *Science* **275**, 213–215.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision* (San Francisco: Freeman).
- Marsalek, P., Koch, C., and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1997). On the relationship between synaptic input and spike output jitter in individual neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 735–740.
- Mason, A., Nicoll, A., and Stratford, K. (1991). Synaptic transmission between individual pyramidal neurons of the rat visual cortex in vitro. *J. Neurosci.* **11**, 72–84.
- Massad, A., Mertsching, B., and Schmalz, S. (1998). Combining multiple views and temporal associations for 3-D object recognition. In *Proceedings of the ECCV 1998, Volume 2 Stockholm*.
- Matsumura, M., Chen, D.-F., Sawaguchi, T., Kubota, K., and Fetz, E.E. (1996). Synaptic interactions between primate precentral cortex neurons revealed by spike-triggered averaging of intracellular membrane potentials in vivo. *J. Neurosci.* **16**, 7757–7767.
- Maunsell, J.H.R., and Gibson, J.R. (1992). Visual response latencies in striate cortex of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* **68**, 1332–1344.
- Maunsell, J.H.R., and Newsome, W.T. (1987). Visual processing in monkey extrastriate cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **10**, 363–401.
- Maunsell, J.H., Sclar, G., Nealey, T.A., and DePriest, D.D. (1991). Extraretinal representations in area V4 in the macaque monkey. *Vis. Neurosci.* **7**, 561–573.
- Maunsell, J.H.R., Ghose, G.M., Assad, J.A., McAdams, C.J., Boudreau, C.E., and Noerager, B.D. (1999). Visual response latencies of magnocellular and parvocellular LGN neurons in macaque monkeys. *Vis. Neurosci.* **16**, 1–14.
- McAdams, C.J., and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1999). Effects of attention on orientation-tuning functions of single neurons in macaque cortical area V4. *J. Neurosci.* **19**, 431–441.
- McClelland, J.L., and Mozer, M.C. (1986). Perceptual interactions in two-word displays: familiarity and similarity effects. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* **12**, 18–35.
- McCullough, C. (1965). Color adaptation of edge-detectors in the human visual system. *Science* **149**, 1115–1116.
- McCulloch, W., and Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *Bull. Math. Biophys.* **5**, 115–133.
- McCormick, D.A., Connors, B.W., Lighthall, J.W., and Prince, D.A. (1985). Comparative electrophysiology of pyramidal and sparsely spiny stellate neurons of the neocortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **54**, 782–806.
- McLeod, P., Driver, J., and Crisp, J. (1988). Visual search for conjunctions of movement and form is parallel. *Nature* **332**, 154–155.
- McLeod, P., Driver, J., Dienes, Z., and Crisp, J. (1991). Filtering by movement in visual search. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* **17**, 55–64.
- Mel, B., and Fiser, J. (1999). Minimizing binding errors using learned conjunctive features. *Neural Comput.*, in press.
- Melssen, W.J., and Epping, W.J.M. (1987). Detection and estimation of neural connectivity based on cross correlation analysis. *Biol. Cybern.* **57**, 403–414.
- Merigan, W.H., and Maunsell, J.H. (1993). How parallel are the primate visual pathways? *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **16**, 369–402.
- Michalski, A., Gerstein, G.L., Czarkowska, J., and Tarnecki, R. (1983). Interactions between cat striate cortex neurons. *Exp. Brain Res.* **51**, 97–107.
- Mikami, A., Newsome, W.T., and Wurtz, R.H. (1986). Motion selectivity in macaque visual cortex. I. Mechanisms of direction and speed selectivity in extrastriate area MT. *J. Neurophysiol.* **55**, 1308–1327.
- Miles, R., and Wong, R.K.S. (1986). *J. Physiol.* **380**, 373–397.
- Miller, E.C., Gochin, P.M., and Gross, C.G. (1993). Suppression of visual responses of neurons in inferior temporal cortex of the awake macaque monkey by addition of a second stimulus. *Brain Res.* **616**, 25–29.
- Milner, P. (1974). A model for visual shape recognition. *Psychol. Rev.* **81**, 521–535.
- Milner, A.D., and Goodale, M.A. (1993). Visual pathways to perception and action. *Prog. Brain Res.* **95**, 317–337.
- Miltner, W.H.R., Braun, C., Arnold, M., Witte, H., and Taub, E. (1999). Coherence of gamma-band EEG activity as a basis for associative learning. *Nature* **397**, 434–436.
- Minsky, M. (1961). Steps toward artificial intelligence. *Proc. Inst. Radio Engr.* **49**, 8–30.
- Missal, M., Vogels, R., and Orban, G. (1997). Responses of macaque inferior temporal neurons to overlapping shapes. *Cereb. Cortex* **7**, 758–767.
- Moore, G.P., Segundo, J.P., Perkel, D.H., and Levitan, H. (1970). Statistical signs of synaptic interactions in neurones. *Biophys. J.* **10**, 876–900.
- Moran, J., and Desimone, R. (1985). Selective attention gates visual processing in the extrastriate cortex. *Science* **229**, 782–784.

- Motter, B.C. (1993). Focal attention produces spatially selective processing in visual cortical areas V1, V2, and V4 in the presence of competing stimuli. *J. Neurophysiol.* *70*, 909–919.
- Motter, B.C., and Mountcastle, V.B. (1981). The functional properties of the light-sensitive neurons of the posterior parietal cortex studied in waking monkeys: foveal sparing and opponent vector organization. *J. Neurosci.* *1*, 3–26.
- Mountcastle, V.B. (1978). An organizing principle for cerebral function: the unit module and the distributed system. In *The Mindful Brain*, G.M. Edelman and V.B. Mountcastle, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Movshon, J.A., Adelson, E.H., Gizzi, M.S., and Newsome, W.T. (1985). The analysis of moving visual patterns. *Exp. Brain Res.* *71*, 117–151.
- Movshon, J., Eggers, H., Gizzi, M., Hendrickson, A., Kiorpes, L., and Boothe, R. (1987). Effects of early unilateral blur on the macaque's visual system. III. Physiological observations. *J. Neurosci.* *7*, 1340–1351.
- Mozer, M.C. (1983). Letter migration in word perception. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *9*, 531–546.
- Mozer, M. (1991). *The Perception of Multiple Objects: A Connectionist Approach* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Munk, M.H.J., Roelfsema, P.R., König, P., Engel, A.K., and Singer, W. (1996). Role of reticular activation in the modulation of intracortical synchronization. *Science* *272*, 271–274.
- Murata, A., Gallese, V., Kaseda, M., and Sakata, H. (1996). Parietal neurons related to memory-guided hand manipulation. *J. Neurophysiol.* *75*, 2180–2186.
- Murthy, V.N., and Fetz, E.E. (1996a). Oscillatory activity in sensorimotor cortex of awake monkeys: synchronization of local field potentials and relation to behavior. *J. Neurophysiol.* *76*, 3949–3967.
- Murthy, V.N., and Fetz, E.E. (1996b). Synchronization of neurons during local field potential oscillations in sensorimotor cortex of awake monkeys. *J. Neurophysiol.* *76*, 3968–3982.
- Nakayama, K., and Silverman, G.H. (1986a). Serial and parallel processing of visual feature conjunctions. *Nature* *320*, 264–265.
- Navon, D. (1975). Forest before trees: the precedence of global features in visual perception. *Cogn. Psychol.* *9*, 353–383.
- Neisser, U. (1963). Decision-time without reaction-time: experiments in visual scanning. *Am. J. Psychol.* *76*, 376–385.
- Neisser, U. (1967). *Cognitive Psychology* (New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts).
- Neisser, U., and Becklen, R. (1975). Selective looking: attending to visually specified events. *Cogn. Psychol.* *7*, 480–494.
- Nelson, J.I., Salin, P.A., Munk, M.H.J., Arzi, M., and Bullier, J. (1992). Spatial and temporal coherence in cortico-cortical connections: a cross-correlation study in areas 17 and 18 in the cat. *Vis. Neurosci.* *9*, 21–38.
- Neuenschwander, S., and Singer, W. (1996). Long-range synchronization of oscillatory light responses in the cat retina and lateral geniculate nucleus. *Nature* *379*, 728–733.
- Neuenschwander, S., Castelo-Branco, M., and Singer, W. (1999). Synchronous oscillations in the cat retina. *Vision Res.* *39*, 2485–2497.
- Neven, H., and Aertsen, A. (1992). Rate coherence and event coherence in the visual cortex: a neuronal model of object recognition. *Biol. Cybern.* *67*, 309–322.
- Newsome, W.T., Britten, K.H., and Movshon, J.A. (1989). Neuronal correlates of a perceptual decision. *Nature* *341*, 52–54.
- Nicolelis, M.A.L., and Chapin, J.K. (1994). Spatiotemporal structure of somatosensory responses of many-neuron ensembles in the rat ventral posterior medial nucleus of the thalamus. *J. Neurosci.* *14*, 3511–3532.
- Nicolelis, M.A., Ghazanfar, A.A., Stambaugh, C.R., Oliveira, L.M., Laubach, M., Chapin, J.K., Nelson, R.J., and Kaas, J.H. (1998). Simultaneous encoding of tactile information by three primate cortical areas. *Nat. Neurosci.* *1*, 621–630.
- Nicoll, A., and Blakemore, C. (1993). Single-fiber EPSPs in layer 5 of rat visual cortex in-vitro. *Neuroreport* *4*, 167–170.
- Niebur, E., Kammen, D.M., and Koch, C. (1990). Phase-locking in 1-D and 2-D networks of oscillating neurons. In *Nonlinear Dynamics and Neuronal Networks*, H.G. Schuster, ed. (Weinheim: VCH Publishers).
- Niebur, E., Koch, C., and Rosin, C. (1993). An oscillation-based model for the neuronal basis of attention. *Vision Res.* *33*, 2789–2802.
- Nobre, A.C., Sebestyen, G.N., Gitelman, D.R., Mesulam, M.M., Frackowiak, R.S., and Frith, C.D. (1997). Functional localization of the system for visuospatial attention using positron emission tomography. *Brain* *120*, 515–533.
- Nothdurft, H.C. (1992). Feature analysis and the role of similarity in pre-attentive vision. *Percept. Psychophys.* *52*, 355–375.
- Nothdurft, H.C. (1993). Faces and facial expression do not pop-out. *Perception* *22*, 1287–1298.
- Nowak, L.G., Munk, M.H., Girard, P., and Bullier, J. (1995a). Visual latencies in areas V1 and V2 of the macaque monkey. *Vis. Neurosci.* *12*, 371–384.
- Nowak, L.G., Munk, M.H., Nelson, J.I., James, A.C., and Bullier, J. (1995b). Structural basis of cortical synchronization. I. Three types of interhemispheric coupling. *J. Neurophysiol.* *74*, 2379–2400.
- Nowak, L.G., Munk, M.H., James, A.C., Girard, P., and Bullier, J. (1999). Cross-correlation study of the temporal interactions between areas V1 and V2 of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* *81*, 1057–1074.
- Nowlan, S., and Sejnowski, T. (1995). A selection model for motion processing in area MT of primates. *J. Neurosci.* *15*, 1195–1214.
- Okada, K., Steffens J., Maurer, T., Hong, H., Elagin, E., Neven, H., and von der Malsburg, C. (1998). The Bochum/USC face recognition system and how it fared in the FERET phase III test. In *Face Recognition: From Theory to Applications*, H. Wechsler, P.J. Phillips, V. Bruce, F. Fogelman-Souli, and T.S. Huang, eds. (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- O'Keefe, L.P., and Movshon, J.A. (1998). Processing of first- and second-order motion signals by neurons in area MT of the macaque monkey. *Vis. Neurosci.* *15*, 305–317.
- Oliva, A., and Schyns, P.G. (1997). Coarse blobs or fine edges? Evidence that information diagnosticity changes the perception of complex visual stimuli. *Cogn. Psychol.* *34*, 72–107.
- Olshausen, B.A., Anderson, C.H., and Van Essen, D.C. (1993). A neurobiological model of visual attention and invariant pattern recognition based on dynamic routing of information. *J. Neurosci.* *13*, 4700–4719.
- Olshausen, B., Anderson, C., and Van Essen, D. (1995). A multiscale dynamic routing circuit for forming size- and position-invariant object representations. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* *2*, 45–62.
- Orban, G.A., Kennedy, H., and Bullier, J. (1986). Velocity sensitivity and direction selectivity of neurons in areas V1 and V2 of the monkey: influence of eccentricity. *J. Neurophysiol.* *56*, 462–480.
- Pal, N.R., and Pal, S.K. (1993). A review of image segmentation techniques. *Pattern Recog. Lett.* *26*, 1277–1294.
- Palm, G. (1981). Towards a theory of cell assemblies. *Biol. Cybern.* *39*, 181–194.
- Palm, G. (1990). Cell assemblies as a guideline for brain research. *Concepts Neurosci.* *1*, 133–137.
- Palm, G., Aertsen, A., and Gerstein, G.L. (1988). On the significance of correlations among neuronal spike trains. *Biol. Cybern.* *59*, 1–11.
- Parker, A.J., and Newsome, W.T. (1998). Sense and the single neuron: probing the physiology of perception. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* *21*, 227–277.
- Perkel, D.H., Gerstein, G.L., and Moore, G.P. (1967). Neuronal spike trains and stochastic point processes. I. The single spike train. *Biophys. J.* *7*, 391–418.
- Perrett, D., and Oram, M. (1993). Neurophysiology of shape processing. *Imag. Vis. Comput.* *11*, 317–333.
- Perrett, D., and Oram, M. (1998). Visual recognition based on temporal cortex cells: viewer-centred processing of pattern configuration. *Z. Naturforsch.* *53c*, 518–541.
- Perrett, D.I., Smith, P.A.J., Potter, D.D., Mistlin, A.J., Head, A.S., Milner, A.D., and Jeeves, M.A. (1984). Neurones responsive to faces

- in the temporal cortex: studies of functional organization, sensitivity to identity and relation to perception. *Hum. Neurobiol.* 3, 197–208.
- Perrett, D.I., Smith, P.A.J., Potter, D.D., Mistlin, A.J., Head, A.S., Milner, A.D., and Jeeves, M.A. (1985). Visual cells in the temporal cortex sensitive to face view and gaze direction. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 223, 293–317.
- Perrett, D.I., Mistlin, A.J., and Chitty, A.J. (1987). Visual neurones responsive to faces. *Trends Neurosci.* 10, 358–364.
- Perrett, D., Oram, M., Harries, M., Bevan, R., Hietanen, J., Benson, P., and Thomas, S. (1991). Viewer-centred and object-centred coding of heads in the macaque temporal cortex. *Exp. Brain Res.* 86, 159–173.
- Peterhans, E., and von der Heydt, R. (1993). Functional organization of area V2 in the alert macaque. *J. Neurosci.* 5, 509–524.
- Peters, A., and Sethares, C. (1991). Organization of pyramidal neurons in area 17 of monkey visual cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 306, 1–23.
- Peters, A., and Yilmaz, E. (1993). Neuronal organization in area 17 of cat visual cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 3, 49–68.
- Phillips, W.A., and Singer, W. (1997). In search of common foundations for cortical computation. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 20, 657–722.
- Phillips, W.A., Hancock, P.J.B., Willson, N.J., and Smith, L.S. (1988). On the acquisition of object concepts from sensory data. In *Neural Computers*. R. Eckmiller and C. von der Malsburg, eds. (Heidelberg: Springer).
- Phillips, P.J., Moon, H., Rizvi, S., and Rauss, P. (1998). The FERET valuation. In *Face Recognition: From Theory to Applications*. H. Wechsler, P.J. Phillips, V. Bruce, F. Fogelman-Souli, and T.S. Huang, eds. (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- Platt, M.L., and Glimcher, P.W. (1997). Responses of intraparietal neurons to saccadic targets and visual distractors. *J. Neurophysiol.* 78, 1574–1589.
- Plenz, D., and Kitai, S.T. (1996). Generation of high-frequency oscillations in local circuits of rat somatosensory cortex cultures. *J. Neurophysiol.* 76, 4180–4184.
- Poggio, T., and Edelman, S. (1990). A network that learns to recognize 3D objects. *Nature* 343, 263–266.
- Poggio, G.F., and Fischer, B. (1977). Binocular interaction and depth sensitivity in striate and prestriate cortex of behaving rhesus monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* 40, 1392–1405.
- Poggio, T., Reichardt, W., and Hausen, W. (1981). A neuronal circuitry for relative movement discrimination by the visual system of the fly. *Naturwissenschaften* 68, 443–466.
- Polat, U., Sagi, D., and Norcia, A. (1997). Abnormal long-range spatial interactions in amblyopia. *Vision Res.* 37, 737–744.
- Polat, U., Mizobe, K., Pettet, M.W., Kasamatsu, T., and Norcia, A.M. (1998). Collinear stimuli regulate visual responses depending on a cell's contrast threshold. *Nature* 391, 580–584.
- Pomerantz, J. (1981). Perceptual organization in information processing. In *Perceptual Organization*, M. Kubovy and J. Pomerantz, eds. (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates).
- Potter, M. (1975). Meaning in visual search. *Science* 187, 565–566.
- Potter, M.C., and Levy, E.I. (1969). Recognition memory for a rapid sequence of pictures. *J. Exp. Psychol.* 81, 10–15.
- Pouget, A., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1997). A new view of hemineglect based on the response properties of parietal neurones. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 352, 1449–1459.
- Prinzmetal, W. (1981). Principles of feature integration in visual perception. *Percept. Psychophys.* 30, 330–340.
- Prinzmetal, W., and Keysar, B. (1989). Functional theory of illusory conjunctions and neon colors. *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* 118, 165–190.
- Prinzmetal, W., Presti, D.E., and Posner, M.I. (1986). Does attention affect visual feature integration? *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 12, 361–369.
- Prinzmetal, W., Henderson, D., and Ivry, R. (1995). Loosening the constraints on illusory conjunctions: assessing the roles of exposure duration and attention. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 21, 1362–1375.
- Prut, Y., Vaadia, E., Bergman, H., Haalman, I., Slovlin, H., and Abeles, M. (1998). Spatiotemporal structure of cortical activity: properties and behavioral relevance. *J. Neurophysiol.* 7, 2857–2874.
- Pulvermüller, F., Birbaumer, N., Lutzenberger, W., and Mohr, B. (1997). High frequency brain activity: its possible role in attention, perception and language processing. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 52, 427–445.
- Purves, D., and LaMantia, A.-S. (1990). Number of 'blobs' in the primary visual cortex of neonatal and adult monkeys. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 5764–5767.
- Qian, N., and Andersen, R.A. (1994). Transparent motion perception as detection of unbalanced motion signals. II. Physiology. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 7367–7380.
- Quinlan, P.T., and Humphreys, G.W. (1987). Visual search for targets defined by combinations of color, shape, and size: an examination of the task constraints on feature and conjunction searches. *Percept. Psychophys.* 41, 455–472.
- Rafal, R.D. (1997). Balint syndrome. In *Behavioral neurology and Neuropsychology*, T.E. Feinberg and M.J. Farah, eds. (New York: McGraw-Hill).
- Rager, G., and Singer, W. (1998). The response of cat visual cortex to flicker stimuli of variable frequency. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 10, 1856–1877.
- Raiguel, S.E., Lagae, L., Gulyas, B., and Orban, G.A. (1989). Response latencies of visual cells in macaque areas V1, V2 and V5. *Brain Res.* 493, 155–159.
- Rainer, G., Asaad, W.F., and Miller, E.K. (1998). Memory fields of neurons in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 15008–15013.
- Recanzone, G.H., Wurtz, R.H., and Schwarz, U. (1997). Responses of MT and MST neurons to one and two moving objects in the receptive field. *J. Neurophysiol.* 78, 2904–2915.
- Reitboeck, H.J., Eckhorn, R., and Pabst, M. (1987). A model of figure/ground separation based on correlated neural activity in the visual system. In *Synergetics of the Brain*, H. Haken, ed. (New York: Springer).
- Rensink, R.A., and Enns, J.T. (1995). Preemption effects in visual search: evidence for low-level grouping. *Psychol. Rev.* 102, 101–130.
- Reyes, A.D., and Fetz, E.E. (1993). Two modes of interspike interval shortening by brief transient depolarizations in cat neocortical neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 69, 1661–1672.
- Reyes, A., Rubel, E., and Spain, W. (1994). Membrane properties underlying the firing of neurons in the avian cochlear nucleus. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 5352–5364.
- Reyes, A., Rubel, E., and Spain, W. (1996). In vitro analysis of optimal stimuli for phase-locking and time-delayed modulation of firing in avian nucleus laminaris neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 993–1007.
- Reynolds, J.H., and Desimone, R. (1999). The role of neural mechanisms of attention in solving the binding problem. *Neuron* 24, this issue, 19–29.
- Reynolds, J., Chelazzi, L., and Desimone, R. (1999). Competitive mechanisms subserve attention in macaque areas V2 and V4. *J. Neurosci.* 19, 1736–1753.
- Rhodes, P., and Gray, C.M. (1994). Simulations of intrinsically bursting neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Neural Comput.* 6, 1086–1110.
- Ribary, U., Joannides, A.A., Singh, K.D., Hasson, R., Bolton, J.P.R., Lado, F., Mogilner, A., and Llinas, R. (1991). Magnetic field tomography of coherent thalamocortical 40 Hz oscillations in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 11037–11041.
- Riehle, A., Grun, S., Diesmann, M., and Aertsen, A. (1997). Spike synchronization and rate modulation differentially involved in motor cortical function. *Science* 278, 1950–1953.
- Riesenhuber, M., and Poggio, T. (1998a). Just one view: invariances in inferotemporal cell tuning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, Volume 10, M. Jordan, M. Kearns, and S. Solla, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Riesenhuber, M., and Poggio, T. (1998b). Modeling Invariances in Inferotemporal Cell Tuning, Technical Report 1629 (Cambridge, MA: MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory).
- Riesenhuber, M., and Poggio, T. (1999a). Are cortical models really bound by the "binding problem"? *Neuron* 24, this issue, 87–93.
- Riesenhuber, M., and Poggio, T. (1999b). Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.*, in press.
- Rizzolatti, G., Fogassi, L., and Gallese, V. (1997). Parietal cortex: from sight to action. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 7, 562–567.

- Robertson, L., Treisman, A., Freidman-Hill, S., and Grabowecky, M. (1997). The interaction of spatial and object pathways: evidence from Balint's syndrome. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 9, 254–276.
- Rock, I., and Brosgole, L. (1964). Grouping based on phenomenal proximity. *J. Exp. Psychol.* 67, 531–538.
- Rockel, A.J., Hiorns, R.W., and Powell, T.P.S. (1980). The basic uniformity in structure of the neocortex. *Brain* 103, 221–244.
- Rockland, K.S. (1997). Elements of cortical architecture: hierarchy revisited. In *Cerebral Cortex: Extrastriate Cortex in Primate*, K. Rockland, J. Kaas, and A. Peters, eds. (New York: Plenum Publishing Corporation).
- Rockland, K.S., and Lund, J.S. (1982). Widespread periodic intrinsic connections in the tree shrew visual cortex. *Science* 215, 1532–1534.
- Rockland, K.S., and Van Hoesen, G.W. (1994). Direct temporal-occipital feedback connections to striate cortex (V1) in the macaque monkey. *Cereb. Cortex* 4, 300–313.
- Rodman, H.R., and Albright, T.D. (1989). Single-unit analysis of pattern-motion selective properties in the middle temporal visual area (MT). *Exp. Brain Res.* 75, 53–64.
- Rodriguez, E., George, N., Lachaux, J.-P., Martinerie, J., Renault, B., and Varela, F.J. (1999). Perception's shadow: long-distance gamma band synchronization of human brain activity. *Nature* 397, 430–433.
- Roe, A.W., and Ts'o, D.Y. (1995). Visual topography in primate V2: multiple representation across functional stripes. *J. Neurosci.* 15, 3689–3715.
- Roelfsema, P.R., and Singer, W. (1998). Detecting connectedness. *Cereb. Cortex* 8, 385–396.
- Roelfsema, P.R., König, P., Engel, A.K., Sireteanu, R., and Singer, W. (1994). Reduced synchronization in the visual cortex of cats with strabismic amblyopia. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 6, 1645–1655.
- Roelfsema, P.R., Engel, A.K., König, P., and Singer, W. (1996). The role of neuronal synchronization in response selection: A biologically plausible theory of structured representations in the visual cortex. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 8, 603–625.
- Roelfsema, P.R., Engel, A.K., König, P., and Singer, W. (1997). Visuo-motor integration is associated with zero time-lag synchronization among cortical areas. *Nature* 385, 157–161.
- Rolls, D.T., and Tovee, M.J. (1994). Processing speed in the cerebral cortex and the neurophysiology of visual masking. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 257, 9–15.
- Rolls, E., and Tovee, M. (1995). The responses of single neurons in the temporal visual cortical areas of the macaque when more than one stimulus is present in the receptive field. *Exp. Brain Res.* 103, 409–420.
- Rosenblatt, F. (1961). *Principles of Neurodynamics: Perceptions and the Theory of Brain Mechanisms*. (Washington, CD: Spartan Books).
- Rosenquist, A.C. (1985). Connections of visual cortical areas in the cat. In *Cerebral Cortex*, A. Peters and E.G. Jones, eds. (New York: Plenum Press).
- Rougeul, A., Bouyer, J.J., Dedet, L., and Debray, O. (1979). Fast somato-parietal rhythms during combined focal attention and immobility in baboon and squirrel monkey. *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 46, 310–319.
- Saarinen, J. (1996a). Localization and discrimination of "pop-out" target. *Vision Res.* 36, 313–316.
- Saarinen, J. (1996b). Target localization and identification in rapid visual search. *Perception* 25, 305–312.
- Sagi, D., and Julesz, B. (1985). "Where" and "what" in vision. *Science* 228, 1217–1219.
- Saito, H., Yukie, M., Tanaka, K., Hikosaka, K., Fukada, Y., and Iwai, E. (1986). Integration of direction signals of image motion in the superior temporal sulcus of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurosci.* 6, 145–157.
- Sakai, K., and Miyashita, Y. (1991). Neural organization for the long-term memory of paired associates. *Nature* 354, 152–155.
- Sakai, K., and Miyashita, Y. (1994). Neuronal tuning to learned complex forms in vision. *Neuroreport* 5, 829–832.
- Sakata, H., Taira, M., Murata, A., and Mine, S. (1995). Neural mechanisms of visual guidance of hand action in the parietal cortex of the monkey. *Cereb. Cortex* 5, 429–438.
- Salin, P.A., and Bullier, J. (1995). Corticocortical connections in the visual system: structure and function. *Physiol. Rev.* 75, 107–154.
- Sanes, J.N., and Donoghue, J.P. (1993). Oscillations in local field potentials of the primate motor cortex during voluntary movement. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90, 4470–4474.
- Sato, T. (1989). Interactions of visual stimuli in the receptive fields of inferior temporal neurons in awake monkeys. *Exp. Brain Res.* 77, 23–30.
- Schein, S.J., and Desimone, R. (1990). Spectral properties of V4 neurons in the macaque. *J. Neurosci.* 10, 3369–3389.
- Schein, S.J., Marrocco, R.T., and de Monasterio, F.M. (1982). Is there a high concentration of color-selective cells in area V4 of monkey visual cortex? *J. Neurophysiol.* 47, 193–213.
- Schiller, J., Schiller, Y., Stuart, G., and Sakmann, B. (1997). Calcium action potentials restricted to distal apical dendrites of rat neocortical pyramidal neurons. *J. Physiol.* 505, 605–616.
- Schmidt, K.E., Goebel, R., Löwel, S., and Singer, W. (1997a). The perceptual grouping criterion of collinearity is reflected by anisotropies of connections in the primary visual cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 9, 1083–1089.
- Schmidt, K.E., Kim, D.-S., Singer, W., Bonhoeffer, T., and Löwel, S. (1997b). Functional specificity of long-range intrinsic and interhemispheric connections in the visual cortex of strabismic cats. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 5480–5492.
- Schmolesky, M.T., Wang, Y., Hanes, D.P., Thompson, K.G., Leutgeb, S., Schall, J.D., and Leventhal, A.G. (1998). Signal timing across the macaque visual system. *J. Neurophysiol.* 79, 3272–3278.
- Schroeder, C.E., Mehta, A.D., and Givre, S.J. (1998). A spatiotemporal profile of visual system activation revealed by current source density analysis in the awake macaque. *Cereb. Cortex* 8, 575–592.
- Schuster, H.G., and Wagner, P. (1990). A model for neuronal oscillations in the visual cortex. 2. Phase description of the feature dependent synchronization. *Biol. Cybern.* 64, 83–85.
- Schwarz, C., and Bolz, J. (1991). Functional specificity of the long-range horizontal connections in cat visual cortex: a cross-correlation study. *J. Neurosci.* 11, 2995–3007.
- Schwindt, P.C., and Crill, W.E. (1995). Amplification of synaptic current by persistent sodium conductance in apical dendrite of neocortical neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 74, 2220–2224.
- Seidemann, E., and Newsome, W.T. (1999). Effect of spatial attention on the responses of area MT neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 81, 1783–1794.
- Sejnowski, T.J. (1981). Skeleton filters in the brain. In *Parallel Models of Associative Memory*, G.E. Hinton and J.A. Anderson, eds. (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates).
- Sereno, M.I., and Allman, J.M. (1991). Cortical visual areas in mammals. In *The Neural Basis of Visual Function*, A. Leventhal, ed. (New York: MacMillan).
- Sereno, A.B., and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1998). Shape selectivity in primate lateral intraparietal cortex. *Nature* 395, 500–503.
- Sestokas, A.K., and Lehmkuhle, S. (1988). Response variability of X- and Y-cells in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of the cat. *J. Neurophysiol.* 59, 317–325.
- Shadlen, M.N., and Movshon, J.A. (1999). Synchrony unbound: a critical evaluation of the temporal binning hypothesis. *Neuron* 24, this issue, 67–77.
- Shadlen, M.N., and Newsome, W.T. (1994). Noise, neural codes and cortical organization. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 4, 569–579.
- Shadlen, M., and Newsome, W. (1995). Is there a signal in the noise? *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 5, 248–250.
- Shadlen, M.N., and Newsome, W.T. (1996). Motion perception: seeing and deciding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 628–633.
- Shadlen, M.N., and Newsome, W.T. (1998). The variable discharge of cortical neurons: implications for connectivity, computation, and information coding. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 3870–3896.
- Shastri, L., and Ajanagadde, V. (1993). From simple associations to systematic reasoning. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 16, 417–494.
- Sheinberg, D.L., and Logothetis, N.K. (1997). The role of temporal cortical areas in perceptual organization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 3408–3413.

- Shimojo, S., Silverman, G.H., and Nakayama, K. (1989). Occlusion and the solution to the aperture problem for motion. *Vision Res.* *29*, 619–626.
- Sillito, A.M., Grieve, K.L., Jones, H.L., Cuderio, J., and Davis, J. (1995). Visual cortical mechanisms detecting focal orientation discontinuities. *Nature* *378*, 492–496.
- Silverman, M.S., Grosf, D.H., DeValois, R.L., and Elfar, S.D. (1989). Spatial-frequency organization in primate striate cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *86*, 711–715.
- Simons, D.J., and Levin, D.T. (1997). Change blindness. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* *1*, 261–268.
- Singer, W. (1993). Synchronization of cortical activity and its putative role in information processing and learning. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* *55*, 349–374.
- Singer, W. (1994). Putative functions of temporal correlations in neocortical processing. In *Large-Scale Neuronal Theories of the Brain*, C. Koch and J.L. Davis, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Singer, W. (1995). Development and plasticity of cortical processing architectures. *Science* *270*, 758–764.
- Singer, W. (1999a). Response synchronization: a universal coding strategy for the definition of relations. In *The Cognitive Neurosciences*, M.S. Gazzaniga, ed. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Singer, W. (1999b). Neuronal synchrony: a versatile code for the definition of relations? *Neuron* *24*, this issue, 49–65.
- Singer, W., and Gray, C.M. (1995). Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* *18*, 555–586.
- Singer, W., Engel, A.K., Kreiter, A.K., Munk, M.H.J., Neuenschwander, S., and Roelfsema, P.R. (1997). Neuronal assemblies: necessity, signature and detectability. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* *1*, 252–261.
- Skottun, B.C., Bradley, A., Sclar, G., Ohzawa, I., and Freeman, R.D. (1987). The effects of contrast on visual orientation and spatial frequency discrimination: a comparison of single cells and behavior. *J. Neurophysiol.* *57*, 773–786.
- Snyder, L.H., Batista, A.P., and Andersen, R.A. (1997). Coding of intention in the posterior parietal cortex. *Nature* *386*, 167–170.
- Sompolinsky, H., Golomb, D., and Kleinfeld, D. (1990). Global processing of visual stimuli in a neural network of coupled oscillators. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *87*, 7200–7204.
- Sperry, R.W., Miner, N., and Myers, R.E. (1955). Visual pattern perception following subpial slicing and tantalum wire implantations in the visual cortex. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* *48*, 50–58.
- Spitzer, H., Desimone, R., and Moran, J. (1988). Increased attention enhances both behavioral and neuronal performance. *Science* *240*, 338–340.
- Sporns, O., Gally, J.A., Reeke, G.N., and Edelman, G.M. (1989). Reentrant signaling among simulated neuronal groups leads to coherency in their oscillatory activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *86*, 7265–7269.
- Sporns, O., Tononi, G., and Edelman, G.M. (1991). Modeling perceptual grouping and figure ground segregation by means of active reentrant connections. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *88*, 129–133.
- Stemmler, M., Usher, M., and Niebur, E. (1995). Lateral interactions in primary visual cortex: a model bridging physiology and psychophysics. *Science* *269*, 1877–1880.
- Steriade, M., Timofeev, I., Durmuller, N., and Grenier, F. (1998). Dynamic properties of corticothalamic neurons and local cortical interneurons generating fast rhythmic (30–40 Hz) spike-bursts. *J. Neurophysiol.* *79*, 483–490.
- Steriade, M. (1999). Coherent oscillations and short-term plasticity in corticothalamic networks. *Trends Neurosci.* *22*, 337–345.
- Stevens, C.F., and Wang, Y. (1995). Facilitation and depression at single central synapses. *Neuron* *14*, 795–802.
- Stevens, C.F., and Zador, A.M. (1998). Input synchrony and the irregular firing of cortical neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* *1*, 210–217.
- Stoner, G.R., and Albright, T.D. (1992). Neural correlates of perceptual motion coherence. *Nature* *358*, 412–414.
- Stoner, G.R., Albright, T.D., and Ramachandran, V.S. (1990). Transparency and coherence in human motion perception. *Nature* *344*, 153–155.
- Stopfer, M., Bhagavan, S., Smith, B.H., and Laurent, G. (1997). Impaired odor discrimination on desynchronization of odor-encoding neural assemblies. *Nature* *390*, 70–74.
- Storm, J.F. (1990). Potassium currents in hippocampal pyramidal cells. *Prog. Brain Res.* *83*, 161–187.
- Stryker, M.P. (1989). Cortical physiology: is grandmother an oscillation? *Nature* *338*, 297–298.
- Stuart, G., and Sakmann, B. (1995). Amplification of EPSPs by axosomatic sodium channels in neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Neuron* *15*, 1065–1076.
- Suzuki, S., and Cavanagh, P. (1995). Facial organization blocks access to low-level features: an object inferiority effect. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* *21*, 901–913.
- Szentagothai, J. (1973). Synaptology of the visual cortex. In *Handbook of Sensory Physiology VII/3B: Visual Centers in the Brain*, R. Jung, ed. (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- Tallon-Baudry, C., and Bertrand, O. (1999). Oscillatory gamma activity in humans and its role in object representation. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* *3*, 151–162.
- Tallon-Baudry, C., Bertrand, O., Delpuech, C., and Pernier, J. (1996). Stimulus specificity of phase-locked and non-phase-locked 40 Hz visual responses in human. *J. Neurosci.* *16*, 4240–4249.
- Tallon-Baudry, C., Bertrand, O., Delpuech, C., and Pernier, J. (1997). Oscillatory gamma band (30–70 Hz) activity induced by a visual search task in humans. *J. Neurosci.* *17*, 722–734.
- Tallon-Baudry, C., Bertrand, O., Peronnet, F., and Pernier, J. (1998). Induced gamma band activity during the delay of a visual short-term memory task in humans. *J. Neurosci.* *18*, 4244–4254.
- Tallon-Baudry, C., Kreiter, A.K., and Bertrand, O. (1999). Sustained and transient oscillatory responses in the gamma and beta bands in a visual short-term memory task in humans. *Vis. Neurosci.*, in press.
- Tanaka, K. (1993). Neuronal mechanisms of object recognition. *Science* *262*, 685–688.
- Tanaka, K. (1996). Inferotemporal cortex and object vision: stimulus selectivity and columnar organization. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* *19*, 109–139.
- Tanaka, K., and Saito, H.-A. (1989). Analysis of motion of the visual field by direction, expansion/contraction, and rotation cells clustered in the dorsal part of the medial superior temporal area of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* *62*, 626–641.
- Tanaka, K., Hikosaka, K., Saito, H., Yukie, M., Fukada, Y., and Iwai, E. (1986a). Analysis of local and wide-field movements in the superior temporal visual areas of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurosci.* *6*, 134–144.
- Tanaka, M., Weber, H., and Creutzfeldt, O.D. (1986b). Visual properties and spatial distribution of neurones in the visual association area on the prelunate gyrus of the awake monkey. *Exp. Brain Res.* *65*, 11–37.
- Tanaka, K., Fukada, Y., and Saito, H.A. (1989). Underlying mechanisms of the response specificity of expansion/contraction and rotation cells in the dorsal part of the medial superior temporal area of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* *62*, 642–656.
- Tarr, M.J., and Bulthoff, H.H. (1995). Is human object recognition better described by geon-structural-descriptions or by multiple-views? *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.*, in press.
- Theeuwes, J., and Kooi, J.L. (1994). Parallel search for a conjunction of shape and contrast polarity. *Vision Res.* *34*, 3013–3016.
- Thomson, A.M., and Deuchars, J. (1997). Synaptic interactions in neocortical local circuits: dual intracellular recordings in vitro. *Cereb. Cortex* *7*, 510–522.
- Thomson, A.M., and West, D.C. (1993). Fluctuations in pyramidal-pyramidal excitatory postsynaptic potentials modified by presynaptic firing pattern and postsynaptic membrane potential using paired intracellular recordings in rat neocortex. *Neuroscience* *54*, 329–346.
- Thomson, A., Deuchars, J., and West, D. (1993). Single axon excitatory postsynaptic potentials in neocortical interneurons exhibit pronounced paired pulse facilitation. *Neuroscience* *54*, 347–360.
- Thorpe, S., Fize, D., and Marlot, C. (1996). Speed of processing in the human visual system. *Nature* *381*, 520–522.

- Tiitinen, H., Sinkkonen, J., Reinikainen, K., Alho, K., Lavikainen, J., and Naatanen, R. (1993). Selective attention enhances the auditory 40-Hz transient response in humans. *Nature* 364, 59–60.
- Tipper, S.P., Weaver, B., Jerreat, L.M., and Burak, A.L. (1994). Object-based and environment-based inhibition of return of visual attention. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 20, 478–499.
- Tipper, S.P., and Weaver, B. (1998). The medium of attention: location-based, object-based, or scene-based? In *Visual Attention*, Volume 8, R.D. Wright, ed. (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Tong, F., Nakayama, K., Vaughan, J.T., and Kanwisher, N. (1998). Binocular rivalry and visual awareness in human extrastriate cortex. *Neuron* 21, 753–759.
- Tononi, G., and Edelman, G.M. (1998). Consciousness and complexity. *Science* 282, 1846–1851.
- Tononi, G., Sporns, O., and Edelman, G. (1992). Reentry and the problem of integrating multiple cortical areas: simulation of dynamic integration in the visual system. *Cereb. Cortex* 2, 310–335.
- Tononi, G., Srinivasan, R., Russell, D.P., and Edelman, G.M. (1998). Investigating neural correlates of conscious perception by frequency-tagged neuromagnetic responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 3198–3203.
- Tootell, R.B.H., and Hamilton, S.L. (1989). Functional anatomy of the second visual area (V2) in the macaque. *J. Neurosci.* 9, 2620–2644.
- Tootell, R.B.H., and Taylor, J.B. (1995). Anatomical evidence for MT and additional cortical visual areas in humans. *Cereb. Cortex* 1, 39–55.
- Tootell, R.B.H., Dale, A.M., Sereno, M.I., and Malach, R. (1996). New images from human visual cortex. *Trends Neurosci.* 19, 481–489.
- Tovee, M., and Rolls, E. (1992a). Oscillatory activity is not evident in the primate temporal visual cortex with static stimuli. *Neuroreport* 3, 369–372.
- Tovee, M.J., and Rolls, E.T. (1992b). The functional nature of neuronal oscillations. *Trends Neurosci.* 15, 387.
- Toyama, K., Kimura, M., and Tanaka, K. (1981a). Cross-correlation analysis of interneuronal connectivity in cat visual cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 46, 191–201.
- Toyama, K., Kimura, M., and Tanaka, K. (1981b). Organization of cat visual cortex as investigated by cross-correlation techniques. *J. Neurophysiol.* 46, 202–214.
- Traub, R.D., Wong, R.K.S., Miles, R., and Michelson, H.B. (1991). A model of a CA3 hippocampal pyramidal neuron incorporating voltage-clamp data on intrinsic conductances. *J. Neurophysiol.* 66, 635–650.
- Traub, R.D., Whittington, M.A., Stanford, I.M., and Jefferys, J.G. (1996). A mechanism for generation of long-range synchronous fast oscillations in the cortex. *Nature* 383, 621–624.
- Treisman, A. (1982). Perceptual grouping and attention in visual search for features and for objects. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 8, 194–214.
- Treisman, A. (1988). Features and objects: the fourteenth Bartlett memorial lecture. *Quart. J. Exp. Psychol.* 40A, 201–237.
- Treisman, A. (1991). Search, similarity and the integration of features between and within dimensions. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 27, 652–676.
- Treisman, A. (1992a). Perceiving and re-perceiving objects. *Am. Psychol.* 47, 862–875.
- Treisman, A. (1992b). Spreading suppression or feature integration? A reply to Duncan and Humphreys. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 18, 589–593.
- Treisman, A. (1993). The perception of features and objects. In *Attention: Selection, Awareness and Control: A Tribute to Donald Broadbent*, A. Baddeley and L. Weiskrantz, eds. (Oxford: Clarendon Press).
- Treisman, A. (1995). Modularity and attention: is the binding problem real? *Vis. Cogn.* 2, 303–311.
- Treisman, A. (1996). The binding problem. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 6, 171–178.
- Treisman, A. (1998). Feature binding, attention and object perception. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 353, 1295–1306.
- Treisman, A., and Gelade, G. (1980). A feature-integration theory of attention. *Cogn. Psychol.* 12, 97–136.
- Treisman, A., and Gormican, S. (1988). Feature analysis in early vision: evidence from search asymmetries. *Psychol. Rev.* 95, 15–48.
- Treisman, A., and Kanwisher, N.K. (1998). Perceiving visually-presented objects: recognition, awareness, and modularity. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 8, 218–226.
- Treisman, A., and Sato, S. (1990). Conjunction search revisited. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 16, 459–478.
- Treisman, A., and Schmidt, H. (1982). Illusory conjunctions in the perception of objects. *Cogn. Psychol.* 14, 107–141.
- Treisman, A., and Souther, J. (1986). Illusory words: the roles of attention and of top-down constraints in conjoining letters to form words. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 12, 3–17.
- Treue, S., and Andersen, R.A. (1996). Neural responses to velocity gradients in macaque cortical area MT. *Vis. Neurosci.* 13, 797–804.
- Treue, S., and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1996). Attentional modulation of visual motion processing in cortical areas MT and MST. *Nature* 382, 539–541.
- Triesch, J., and von der Malsburg, C. (1996). Binding—a proposed experiment and a model. In *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks 1996* (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- Tsal, Y. (1989). Do illusory conjunctions support feature integration theory? A critical review of theory and findings. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 15, 394–400.
- Ts'o, D., and Gilbert, C. (1988). The organization of chromatic and spatial interactions in the primate striate cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 8, 1712–1727.
- Ts'o, D.Y., Gilbert, C.D., and Wiesel, T.N. (1986). Relationships between horizontal interactions and functional architecture in cat striate cortex as revealed by cross-correlation analysis. *J. Neurosci.* 6, 1160–1170.
- Tsotsos, J.K. (1990). Analyzing vision at the complexity level. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 13, 423–445.
- Tsotsos, J.K. (1995). Toward a computational model of visual attention. In *Early Vision and Beyond*, T.V. Papathomas, ed. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Tsotsos, J.K., Culhane, S.N., Wai, W.Y.K., Lai, Y., Davis, N., and Nuflo, F. (1995). Modeling visual attention via selective tuning. *Artif. Intell.* 78, 507–545.
- Ullman, S. (1996). *High-Level Vision* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Ungerleider, L., and Haxby, J. (1994). “What” and “Where” in the human brain. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 4, 157–165.
- Ungerleider, L.G., and Mishkin, M. (1982). Two cortical visual systems. In *Analysis of Visual Behavior*, D.J. Ingle, M.A. Goodale, and R.J.W. Mansfield, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Ungerleider, L.G., Gaffan, D., and Pelak, V.S. (1989). Projections from inferior temporal cortex to prefrontal cortex via the uncinate fascicle in rhesus monkeys. *Exp. Brain Res.* 76, 473–484.
- Usher, M., and Donnelly, N. (1998). Visual synchrony affects binding and segmentation in perception. *Nature* 394, 179–182.
- Usrey, W.M., and Reid, R.C. (1999). Synchronous activity in the visual system. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 61, 435–456.
- Vaadia, E., and Aertsen, A. (1992). Coding and computation in the cortex: single-neuron activity and cooperative phenomena. In *Information Processing in the Cortex: Experiments and Theory*, A. Aertsen and V. Braitenberg, eds. (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- Vaadia, E., Ahissar, E., Bergman, H., and Lavner, Y. (1991). Correlated activity of neurons: a neural code for higher brain functions? In *Neuronal Cooperativity*, J. Kruger, ed. (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).
- Vaadia, E., Haalman, I., Abeles, M., Bergman, H., Prut, Y., Slovin, H., and Aertsen, A. (1995). Dynamics of neuronal interactions in monkey cortex in relation to behavioural events. *Nature* 373, 515–518.
- Van Essen, D.C., and Anderson, C.H. (1990). Information processing strategies and pathways in the primate retina and visual cortex. In *An Introduction to Neural and Electronic Networks*, S.F. Zornetzer, J.L. Davis, and C. Lau, eds. (New York: Academic Press).
- Van Essen, D.C., and Gallant, J.L. (1994). Neural mechanisms of form and motion processing in the primate visual system. *Neuron* 13, 1–10.

- Van Essen, D.C., and Zeki, S.M. (1978). The topographic organization of rhesus monkey prestriate cortex. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 277, 193–226.
- Van Essen, D.C., Anderson, C.H., and Felleman, D.J. (1992). Information processing in the primate visual system: an integrated systems perspective. *Science* 255, 419–423.
- van Vreeswijk, D., Abbott, L.F., and Ermentrout, G.B. (1994). When inhibition not excitation synchronizes neural firing. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* 7, 313–321.
- Varela, F.J. (1995). Resonant cell assemblies: a new approach to cognitive functions and neuronal synchrony. *Biol. Res.* 28, 81–95.
- Vecera, S.P., and Farah, M.J. (1994). Does visual attention select objects or locations? *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* 123, 146–160.
- Vogels, R., and Orban, G.A. (1994). Activity of inferior temporal neurons during orientation discrimination with successively presented gratings. *J. Neurophysiol.* 71, 1428–1451.
- Volgushev, M., Chistiakova, M., and Singer, W. (1998). Modification of discharge patterns of neocortical neurons by induced oscillations of the membrane potential. *Neuroscience* 83, 15–25.
- von der Heydt, R., and Dursteler, M.R. (1993). Visual search: monkeys detect conjunctions as fast as features. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 34, 1288.
- von der Malsburg, C. (1981). The correlation theory of brain function. MPI Biophysical Chemistry, Internal Report 81–2. Reprinted in *Models of Neural Networks II* (1994), E. Domany, J.L. van Hemmen, and K. Schulten, eds. (Berlin: Springer).
- von der Malsburg, C. (1985). Nervous structures with dynamical links. *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* 89, 703–710.
- von der Malsburg, C. (1986). Am I thinking assemblies? In *Proceedings of the Trieste Meeting on Brain Theory*, G. Palm and A. Aertsen, eds. (Springer: Berlin).
- von der Malsburg, C. (1988). Pattern recognition by labeled graph matching. *Neural Networks* 1, 141–148.
- von der Malsburg, C. (1995). Binding in models of perception and brain function. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 5, 520–526.
- von der Malsburg, C. (1999). The what and why of binding: the modeler's perspective. *Neuron* 24, this issue, 95–104.
- von der Malsburg, C., and Bienenstock, E. (1987). A neural network for the retrieval of superimposed connection patterns. *Europhys. Lett.* 3, 1243–1249.
- von der Malsburg, C., and Buhmann, J. (1992). Sensory segmentation with coupled neural oscillators. *Biol. Cybern.* 67, 233–242.
- von der Malsburg, C., and Reiser, K. (1995). Pose invariant object recognition in a neural system. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks 1995*, F. Fogelman-Souli, J.C. Rault, P. Gallinari, and G. Dreyfus, eds. (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- von der Malsburg, C., and Schneider, W. (1986). A neural cocktail-party processor. *Biol. Cybern.* 54, 29–40.
- von der Malsburg, C., and Singer, W. (1991). Principles of cortical network organization. In *Neurobiology of Neocortex*, P. Rakic and W. Singer, eds. (New York: John Wiley).
- von Helmholtz, H.L.F. (1925). *Treatise on Physiological Optics* (New York: Dover Press).
- Wallis, G., and Rolls, E. (1997). A model of invariant object recognition in the visual system. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 51, 167–294.
- Wandell, B.A. (1999). Computational neuroimaging of human visual cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 22, 145–173.
- Wang, X.-J. (1999). Fast burst firing and short-term synaptic plasticity: a model of neocortical chattering neurons. *Neuroscience* 89, 347–362.
- Wang, X.-J., and Buzsaki, G. (1996). Gamma oscillation by synaptic inhibition in a hippocampal interneuronal network model. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 6402–6413.
- Wang, D.L., Buhmann, J., and von der Malsburg, C. (1990). Pattern segmentation in associative memory. *Neural Comput.* 2, 94–106.
- Wang, Q., Cavanagh, P., and Green, M. (1994). Familiarity and pop-out in visual search. *Percept. Psychophys.* 56, 495–500.
- Wehr, M., and Laurent, G. (1996). Odour encoding by temporal sequences of firing in oscillating neural assemblies. *Nature* 384, 162–166.
- Weisstein, N. (1973). Beyond the yellow Volkswagen detector and the grandmother cell: a general strategy for the exploration of operations in human pattern recognition. In *Contemporary Issues in Cognitive Psychology: The Loyola Symposium*, R.L. Solso, ed. (Washington, DC: Winston/Wiley).
- Wertheimer, M. (1923). Untersuchungen zur Lehre der Gestalt. *Psychol. Forschung* 4, 301–350.
- Wertheimer, M. (1955). Laws of organization in perceptual forms. In *A Source Book of Gestalt Psychology*, W.D. Ellis, ed. (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul).
- Wespatat, V., Tennigkeit, F., and Singer, W. (1999). Oscillations and long-term synaptic plasticity in rat visual cortex. In *From Molecular Neurobiology to Clinical Neuroscience: Proceedings of the 1st Göttingen Conference of the German Neuroscience Society, Volume 1, 27th Göttingen Neurobiology Conference*, N. Elsner and U. Eysel, eds. (Stuttgart: Thieme-Verlag).
- Whittington, M.A., Traub, R.D., and Jefferys, J.G.R. (1995). Synchronized oscillations in interneuron networks driven by metabotropic glutamate receptor activation. *Nature* 373, 612–615.
- Wickelgren, W. (1969). Context-sensitive coding, associative memory, and serial order in (speech) behavior. *Psychol. Rev.* 76, 1–15.
- Wilson, M., and Bower, J.M. (1992). Cortical oscillations and temporal interactions in a computer simulation of piriform cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 67, 981–995.
- Wilson, M.A., and McNaughton, B.L. (1993). Dynamics of the hippocampal ensemble code for space. *Science* 261, 1055–1058.
- Wilson, F.A., Scalaidhe, S.P., and Goldman-Rakic, P.S. (1993). Dissociation of object and spatial processing domains in primate prefrontal cortex. *Science* 260, 1955–1958.
- Wiskott, L. (1999). The role of topographical constraints in face recognition. *Pattern Recog. Lett.* 20, 89–96.
- Wiskott, L., and von der Malsburg, C. (1995). Face recognition by dynamic link matching. In *Lateral Interactions in the Cortex: Structure and Function* (electronic book), J. Sirosh, R. Miikkulainen, and Y. Choe, eds., www.cs.utexas.edu/users/nn/web-pubs/htmlbook96.
- Wolfe, J.M. (1992). "Effortless" texture segmentation and "parallel" visual search are not the same thing. *Vision Res.* 32, 757–763.
- Wolfe, J.M. (1994a). Guided Search 2.0: a revised model of visual search. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 1, 202–238.
- Wolfe, J.M. (1994b). Visual search in continuous, naturalistic stimuli. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 35, 13–28.
- Wolfe, J.M. (1996). Extending Guided Search: why Guided Search needs a preattentive "item map." In *Converging Operations in the Study of Visual Selective Attention*, A. Kramer, G.H. Cole, and G.D. Logan, eds. (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association).
- Wolfe, J.M. (1998). What do 1,000,000 trials tell us about visual search? *Psychol. Sci.* 9, 33–39.
- Wolfe, J., and Bennett, S. (1996). Preattentive object files: shapeless bundles of basic features. *Vision Res.* 37, 25–44.
- Wolfe, J.M., and Cave, K.R. (1999). The psychophysical evidence for a binding problem in human vision. *Neuron* 24, this issue, 11–17.
- Wolfe, J.M., and Gancarz, G. (1996). Guided Search 3.0: a model of visual search catches up with Jay Enoch 40 years later. In *Basic and Clinical Applications of Vision Science*, V. Lakshminarayanan, ed. (Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic).
- Wolfe, J.M., Cave, K.R., and Franzel, S.L. (1989). Guided Search: an alternative to the Feature Integration model for visual search. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 15, 419–433.
- Wolfe, J.M., Chun, M.M., and Friedman-Hill, S.R. (1995). Making use of text on gradients: visual search and perceptual grouping exploit the same parallel processes in different ways. In *Early Vision and Beyond*, T. Pappathomas, C. Chubb, A. Gorea, and E. Kowler, eds. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- Würtz, R. (1997). Object recognition robust under translations, deformations, and changes in background. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell.* 19.
- Yantis, S., and Gibson, B.S. (1994). Object continuity in apparent motion and attention. *Can. J. Exp. Psychol.* 48, 182–204.
- Yen, S.-C., and Finkel, L.H. (1998). Extraction of perceptually salient contours by striate cortical networks. *Vision Res.* 38, 719–741.

- Yen, S.-C., Menschik, E.D., and Finkel, L.H. (1999). Perceptual grouping in striate cortical networks mediated by synchronization and desynchronization. *Neurocomputing*, in press.
- Yeshurun, Y., and Carrasco, M. (1998). Attention improves or impairs visual performance by enhancing spatial resolution. *Nature* *396*, 72–75.
- Yeshurun, Y., and Carrasco, M. (1999). Spatial attention improves performance in spatial resolution tasks. *Vision Res.* *39*, 293–306.
- Ylinen, A., Sik, A., Bragin, A., Nadasdy, Z., Jando, G., Szabo, I., and Buzsaki, G. (1995). Sharp wave-associated high-frequency oscillation (200 Hz) in the intact hippocampus: network and intracellular mechanisms. *J. Neurosci.* *15*, 30–46.
- Yoshioka, T., Blasdel, G.G., Levitt, J.B., and Lund, J.S. (1996). Relation between patterns of intrinsic lateral connectivity, ocular dominance, and cytochrome oxidase-reactive regions in macaque monkey striate cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* *6*, 297–310.
- Young, M.P., and Yamane, S. (1992). Sparse population coding of faces in the inferotemporal cortex. *Science* *256*, 1327–1331.
- Young, M.P., Tanaka, K., Yamane, S. (1992). On oscillating neuronal responses in the visual cortex of the monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* *67*, 1464–1474.
- Yu, A.C., and Margoliash, D. (1996). Temporal hierarchical control of singing in birds. *Science* *273*, 1871–1875.
- Yuste, R., and Tank, D.W. (1996). Dendritic integration in mammalian neurons, a century after Cajal. *Neuron* *16*, 701–716.
- Zeki, S.M. (1978). Functional specialisation in the visual cortex of the rhesus monkey. *Nature* *274*, 423–428.
- Zeki, S. (1983). The distribution of wavelength and orientation selective cells in different areas of monkey visual cortex. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* *217*, 449–470.
- Zeki, S., and Shipp, S. (1988). The functional logic of cortical connections. *Nature* *335*, 311–317.
- Zhang, X. (1999). Anticipatory inhibition: an intentional non-spatial mechanism revealed with the distractor previewing technique. PhD thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ.
- Zhang, L.I., Tao, H.W., Holt, C.E., Harris, W.A., and Poo, M. (1998). A critical window for cooperation and competition among developing retinotectal synapses. *Nature* *395*, 37–44.
- Zipser, K., Lamme, V.A.F., and Schiller, P.H. (1996). Contextual Modulation in Primary Visual Cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *16*, 7376–7389.
- Zohary, E., and Hochstein, S. (1989). How serial is serial processing in vision? *Perception* *18*, 191–200.
- Zohary, E., Shadlen, M.N., and Newsome, W.T. (1994). Correlated neuronal discharge rate and its implications for psychophysical performance. *Nature* *370*, 140–143.